JEA 2020 FACTFINDING WORKSHOP

SESSION #1

- DATE: Wednesday, November 6, 2019
- TIME: 11:02 a.m. 12:59 p.m.
- PLACE: Lynwood Roberts Room First Floor City Hall at St. James Building 117 West Duval Street Jacksonville, Florida 32202

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Al Ferraro, District 2 Aaron Bowman, District 3 Scott Wilson, Council President, District 4 LeAnna Cumber, District 5 Michael Boylan, District 6 Reggie Gaffney, District 7 Ju'Coby Pittman, District 8 Garrett Dennis, District 9 Brenda Priestly Jackson, District 10 Danny Becton, District 11 Randy White, District 12 Rory Diamond, District 13 Randy DeFoor, District 14 Terrance Freeman, Group 1 Ronald Salem, Group 2 Tommy Hazouri, Group 3 Matt Carlucci, Group 4 Sam Newby, Group 5

ALSO PRESENT:

Council Staff:

Dr. Cheryl Brown, City Council Director Carol Owens, Chief of Legislative Services Steve Cassada, IT Administrator Jeff Clements, Chief or Research Peggy Sidman, Office of General Counsel

1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Good morning,
3	
	and thank you all for taking the time to be
4	here this morning. Make sure your
5	microphone's on. We will go around the
6	table for identifications starting with
7	Mr. Diamond.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER DIAMOND: Rory Diamond,
9	District 13.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GAFFNEY: Reggie Gaffney,
11	District 7.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BOWMAN: Aaron Bowman,
13	District 3.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER FREEMAN: Terrence
15	Freeman, At-Large Group 1.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER WHITE: Randy White,
17	District 12.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: Randy DeFoor,
19	District 14.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Danny Becton,
21	District 11.
22	COUNCIL PRESIDENT WILSON: Scott Wilson,
23	District 4.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Michael Boylan,
25	District 6.

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Good morning.
2	Tommy Hazouri, Group 3 At-Large.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Al Ferraro,
4	District 2.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Brenda Priestly
6	Jackson, District 10.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER NEWBY: Sam Newby, Group
8	5 At-Large.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Garrett Dennis,
10	District 9.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER PITTMAN: Ju'Coby
12	Pittman, District 8.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Well, thank you
14	all for being here. I appreciate everyone's
15	attention.
16	First of all, I do want to thank
17	President Wilson for your appreciation
18	we've got a bad one. You got it?
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Good and
21	importance of the work that we are about to
22	undertake and your expression of confidence
23	to me in facilitating this fact-finding set
24	of workshops. Thank you very much. And I
25	don't know if you want to comment

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 COUNCIL PRESIDENT WILSON: This 2 microphone might be the problem. 3 I just would like to thank you for your leadership on this issue, and thank each one 4 of my colleagues for being here. 5 I'd also like to thank -- I see Judge 6 7 Arnold and Jason Gabriel in the room, as 8 well as many other union leaders and 9 residents who are very interested in this 10 conversation. So thank you for leading this 11 cause, and I look forward to the 12 conversation. Thank you. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Thank you. I 14 referenced the word facilitate in that last 15 sentence of mine. And I'm not leading this 16 effort. I'm merely trying to guide us, all 17 of us, through it. And when I say all of 18 us, I mean constituents, council members, 19 and all the interested parties. 20 Our purpose is singular: to fully 21 prepare ourselves and the constituents we 22 represent for whatever proposed changes to 23 structure and/or operations that the JEA

24 Board and Management may present to us in 25 the coming months.

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

To that end, we bring these discussions with -- we'll bring you these discussions with the help of subject matter experts that will be identified by the Council and Mr. Wilson, who we'll soon be retaining, but also from the JEA Board and Management and the individuals that they had identified to help them doing work that they were doing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 And this is going to be a journey. It's 10 going to take us a couple of months to get 11 through this process. We had an 12 organizational meeting on Monday with my 13 colleagues, and we made some changes to the schedule and for the schedule -- changes to 14 15 the schedule likely will be made. And I do 16 appreciate your input in this process.

17 Public involvement is very important to 18 this process. In addition to doing what we 19 are doing today, a lot of listening, we also 20 have opportunities for you to provide your 21 input. We're going to try and keep the 22 schedule very tightly to 90 minutes for the 23 discussion we have around the table here and 24 to provide 30 minutes for comments by folks 25 in the audience if you choose to do so.

You'll need to fill out a green card.

1

2 And what we will do, we will apportion 3 the time based upon the number of cards we have from the folks in the audience; 4 however, I do want to make certain that 5 we -- we ask that your request -- during the 6 course of that process, that your 7 8 conversation or presentation should be 9 limited to the topic we are presenting today 10 and/or suggestions or questions about future 11 topics.

We're not here to talk about what we should do at this point in time, merely to understand the process that we're going through and what we need to learn in order to make a sound decision in the future.

17It's my understanding that all the18workshops will be available for public19viewing on a number of electronic platforms,20including the COJ website. There you will21also be able to post comments or post22questions regarding an upcoming topic.

As you can see by the judge of today,
sessions will cover essentially three items:
The first is some background on the Office

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 of General Counsel and the rationale for 2 when and the process by which Special Counsel is identified. It's a process we've 3 kind of gone through already and most of my 4 5 colleagues are familiar with it. But for the benefit of the general audience out 6 7 there, we want to share this process with 8 you as well.

9 Frankly, the focus of the second item 10 changed a bit. Initially, the accident, if 11 you will, was put on the authority of the 12 independent authorities. But thanks to the 13 comments and questions raised by my 14 colleagues at our organizational meeting on 15 Monday, we changed the emphasis a bit. It's 16 being shifted really to the authority of the 17 City Council as it relates to the respective 18 authorities. Just exactly what is the line 19 of demarcation? Frankly, the ability of the 20 Council to materially address decisions made 21 by the Board and Management of any given 22 authority; but, obviously, in this case, 23 specifically JEA.

24 Yesterday, the Jacksonville City Council25 provided our membership a letter from its

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

JEA subcommittee, an opinion memo that
 specifically addresses Council's authority
 in such matters and a presentation provided
 by the group of the Florida Municipal Power
 Authority.

6 We won't be delving into the latter two, 7 but Ms. Jean Miller is in the room and I 8 invited -- will be inviting her up a little 9 bit later on to share a little bit about the 10 process they've undertaken and some of the 11 general conclusions they have reached as 12 early on in their process.

13 Our first presenter today is the14 Honorable Judge Charles Arnold, Jr.

15 Thank you. I have coaches here. I'm16 going to need them.

We have a court reporter here, and the court reporter has asked that we -- that the initial time my colleagues speak, that you identify yourself so that she will know who you are in this process. Thank you.

Again, our first speaker today, our presenter today, is the former judge, retired judge, a circuit court judge, and I'm very excited to have him here. He was

the Fourth Circuit Court -- Judge in the Fourth Circuit Court in Florida from 1997 until his retirement in 2012; however, he did spend a number of years practicing law for 30 years prior to that and spent two years -- three years as -- from '92 to '94, Judge -- as the Office of General Counsel.

8 So we're going to open up the floor to 9 you and let you talk a little bit about the 10 history of the General Counsel role and also 11 as it relates specifically to the 12 identification of Specialized Counsel.

13JUDGE ARNOLD: Thank you, Councilman14Boylan. Is this working now or --

15 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Close enough.16 You've got to move it closer, probably.

JUDGE ARNOLD: All right. Actually, I'm still a senior circuit judge. The only thing important about that is I have the ability to get any of you out of jail on any given day, if need be; however, depending on what you did, you may have to go back later. But don't hesitate to call me.

24 Councilman Boylan and I and Jason
25 Gabriel thought it was -- it might be of

interest to you to -- a little bit of 1 2 background about the General Counsel's 3 Office, why it got formed to start with. And since you've already decided to hire 4 5 some additional attorneys, some background 6 on that as well, what has happened in past years, and it might be able to give you some 7 8 quidance.

9 Just a little bit of my background: On 10 October 1 of '68, I was an Assistant Counsel 11 to Judge William L. Durden, who was the 12 initial General Counsel. And it was my job 13 to represent the City in a number of the 14 lawsuits that were filed challenging the 15 constitutionality of the Charter. And, in 16 fact, I got to argue the case in front of 17 the Florida Supreme Court once it was on 18 appeal, which was a lot of fun since I 19 graduated from law school in August of '68. 20 So it was a great start.

It was a wonderful time to be in City Hall. I could go through and name every City Councilman then and tell you all about them. They were just wonderful folks. Everybody had the interest of the people in

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

mind and just making a new government work, 1 2 and it was just great fun to be there. 3 Mayor Tanzler, Judge Durden, Ted Grissett was President, Sally Mathis, John 4 5 Lanahan, I could go on and on. Some fellow named Carlucci that kept half the staff of 6 7 the General Counsel's Office busy with 8 questions, which -- so you can sort of 9 understand one of your fellow members and 10 where all of that came from. 11 Everything was a legal question back in 12 1968. I recall the people from Nashville, 13 who had consolidated their government, came 14 down to speak with Mayor Tanzler to find out 15 about our government. Was it a strong mayor

government, council government, mayor
council? What was it?

16

17

And I sat in Mayor Tanzler's office with Judge Durden when his answer to the Nashville folks was, It's a strong General Counsel form of government, is what it is. I can't do anything except what the General Counsel tells me I can do.

And I'm sure you-all can appreciate that because you've gotten some binding opinions

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 that obviously help you with your job. 2 And then in -- coming up to '92 and '94, 3 I actually served as General Counsel under Mayor Austin. And back in 2014 I sat on 4 5 Lori Boyer's committee, who studied the 6 Charter and some changes on that. 7 I think I'm one of two lawyers that are 8 still alive that worked for the City in 9 1968. And so for that reason I got invited 10 because I've been here since the beginning, 11 and just wanted to share with you a little 12 bit about the office and conflicts, or 13 perceived conflicts, hiring outside counsel. 14 Those questions always arise, whether it's 15 representing the constitutional officers, 16 the independent bodies, constitutional

18 And the reason the office got formed --19 and I assume most of you have read Richard 20 Barnes' book on A Quiet Revolution, but just 21 a few of the things that he said in there: 22 Prior to consolidation, there were six 23 attorneys in the City attorney's office; 24 there were two attorneys in the County 25 attorney's offices; and then there were

bodies.

17

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 attorneys for the School Board, the hospital board, the Sheriff, the Tax Assessor, the 2 3 Tax Collector, the Clerk of the Court, the Budget Commission, the Civil Service Board, 4 and each one of them had between one and 5 three attorneys. And although it doesn't 6 7 sound like much now, back then they spent 8 over a half a million dollars a year on 9 attorneys.

10 And so the legislature and the study 11 commission decided that they needed to have 12 one group of attorneys that represented all 13 of the City of Jacksonville. And, actually, 14 we had 15 attorneys in the General Counsel's 15 Office the first year. And we saved the 16 City a quarter of a million dollars just that first year in attorneys' fees alone. 17

But all of these attorneys, they were always suing -- on behalf of their clients, they were always suing each other too. And that led to a whole lot of litigation and inconsistent opinions.

And so it really -- the General Counsel really became the judge of the government as opposed to just a lawyer giving advice. The

General Counsel decided things and y'all were stuck with it. And a great deal of thought went into that. And since then there's been a lot of discussion as to whether that is the right approach to legal answers. And it kind of came about because of accident.

8 If you look at Article 8, Section 9, of 9 the Constitution, which set all of this up, 10 it gave the legislature, followed by 11 referendum, the authority to combine 12 everything: the Tax Collector, the Sheriff, 13 the Property Appraiser, even the School 14 Board, would be departments of the City of 15 Jacksonville. And they'd all operate under 16 the Mayor and Counsel. So that made it 17 pretty easy. They were all the clients of 18 the General Counsel.

Well, then, in an effort to get consolidation passed, everybody got worried; if you do away with these constitutional officers, or you do away with the beaches and Baldwin, we may not win. And so they started spreading these things out and leaving them as elected constitutional

officers and boards. And by doing that,
 though, they didn't touch the legal
 department. They left that alone. That
 still made everything they did subject to
 Central Services, General Counsel, and so
 forth.

So they didn't like that. Morgan
Slaughter sued us several times. Over the
years there were several lawsuits about
that.

11 And the best way to look at the General 12 Counsel's Office is, when you're dealing 13 with the primary government, that is, the 14 Mayor, the Council, the independent 15 authorities, is the -- it's like General 16 Motors: You've got Chevrolet; used to 17 have Pontiac; you still have Buick. And 18 that's all a part of General Motors, though. 19 And so you're all part of the same 20 government. And you have one attorney that 21 is representing all of you.

Where it gets a little tricky is when you get to the constitutional officers and the School Board. I've been bothered, since day one, that there may be some inherent

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 conflicts in representing all those folks; 2 but Jason wrote an opinion in 2016 that 3 covered that subject matter about the 4 ethical ramifications, and if you haven't 5 read it, you should do that. And it's 6 really a very well-written opinion, and he 7 answers a lot of those questions.

8 When is it appropriate to go get outside 9 counsel? Obviously, if there's some 10 third-party besides the City involved, like the landfill cases when the federal 11 12 government came after everybody. You had 13 private business and the City were 14 defendants. And the private business didn't 15 want the City attorney representing them, so 16 the City had to go hire outside counsel.

Bond issues, the underwriters want separate bond counsel. And, on occasion, the General Counsel's office just simply doesn't have the expertise to properly advise their clients, and it's certainly fine to go out and get people to do that.

23 That doesn't really apply to the 24 independent authorities as far as having to 25 get independent counsel, unless the General

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 Counsel doesn't have the expertise to do it. 2 There's even been thoughts over the year 3 that, for example -- I won't talk much about it because it's pending -- where you have 4 5 the School Board suing the City, you could actually have all the private firms do it. 6 We built something called the Chinese Wall; 7 8 and that is, you have one group of attorneys 9 that don't talk to another group of 10 attorneys in the same law firm, and you 11 could represent both sides. And I've 12 suggested to Jason that's something they 13 want to think about.

Actually, that's the way he arrives at most of his opinions. One of his lawyers gets one side and one of the lawyers get another, but he's the judge and he decides. But I think that system has worked very well over the years.

20 And nobody, other than President Wilson, 21 to use today's example, nobody catches more 22 hell in this building than the General 23 Counsel does. And that's because the 24 General Counsel's decisions are tough. And 25 he's got a lot of clients pulling him in a

1 lot of directions.

2 Just to give you some example, I 3 remember -- and there was recently an article in the paper about it. I won't 4 spend much time on it; but we had 5 6 Westinghouse Tenneco come to town, and it 7 was the greatest thing since sliced bread. 8 And they formed OPS, and they put this big 9 old crane out on Blount Island, and it was 10 going to be the most wonderful thing for the 11 economy there could be.

And they were going to build these floating generating plants, and it was -actually, they weren't going to build it in the river; they were going to float it off Atlantic Beach. And they were going to sell them up and down the coastline.

18 But the contract with the City, or the JEA and the City, had a lot of liability 19 issues for the City. And Harry Shorstein, 20 21 who was General Counsel and a good friend, 22 he said, No, you just can't do that. You 23 can't subject the City to that kind of 24 liability. And he was vilified everywhere 25 for ruining this great economic opportunity.

1 But can you imagine, if Dorian had 2 parked off Atlantic Beach on top of a 3 nuclear generating plant, where we'd be today? We'd had a nuclear reactor probably 4 5 floated up to this building, and we'd be like Chernobyl, and none of us would live 6 7 here anymore. So his advice seemed pretty 8 good over the years.

9 I recall back in '92 when I was General 10 Counsel, and we were negotiating with the 11 Jaguars -- the lease, and everybody wanted 12 the Jaguars here, including me. But we had 13 a problem with the cost of the stadium. Ιt 14 started at 75 million, and it went to 100-, 15 and then it went to 120-, and then it went 16 to 150-. And then the Jaquars took the 17 position, Well, whatever it costs, you've 18 got to build it, because Mr. Weaver is going 19 to invest \$200 million, and he didn't want a partially built stadium. 20

And I said, No, we've got to have a firm price and -- because the City can't enter into a contract without a firm number on the end.

25

Well, quite understandably, they said,

Well, Chuck's being mean, so we're not
 coming to town.

3 Well, fortunately, John Delaney and Lex Hester and some of the other folks got ahold 4 of the negotiation after me and -- it was 5 actually Lex Hester's idea: Well, we'll 6 give you \$150 million, since you're 7 8 guaranteeing that's what it will cost, and 9 you build the damn stadium, and then that 10 number will work. And it did.

11 So it worked out just great. And John 12 and Lex actually came up with that and did a 13 super job.

But I just point out those situations to you because it is a tough job and, just because on any given day you don't like the advice of your lawyer, I can assure you he has the best interests of the people of the City of Jacksonville in mind.

20 So with that, that kind of brings us up 21 to today. As far as the JEA and y'all's 22 relationship to it, I'll let Jason speak to 23 that. And then if you have any questions 24 about it later, I'll be glad to talk about 25 that.

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

But, anyway, I hope that bit of background maybe helps you a little bit of some of our past squabbles and half-past hiring attorneys and how the program is supposed to work anyway.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Great. So we've 6 7 got about 15 minutes for questions in this 8 section here. And I think we can kick it 9 off with the conversation, specifically 10 instances where you found it necessary 11 during your tenure to hire independent or 12 specialized counsel and the parameters that 13 you set around in doing that. Give us an 14 example of that.

JUDGE ARNOLD: Well, actually, I didn't hire any, because we knew everything. No, I'm kidding. That's a joke.

No. We actually -- we didn't, and I can remember, because Judge Durden taught me how I was supposed to be General Counsel, I thought. And that was Durden's. We didn't hire anybody when Durden was General Counsel, other than bond counsel.

24The only time I know of that outside25counsel was hired on a pure what we call

1 conflict of interest was back in '87 when 2 Judge Harrison was General Counsel. And the 3 Police and Fire Pension Fund, which y'all have all had a lot of discussion about in 4 5 litigation with it over the years. He just flat wrote an opinion that it was a conflict 6 7 of interest for his office to represent the 8 City, the Council, the Mayor, and the Police 9 and Fire Pension Fund, and they could go 10 hire their own lawyer. And they did.

11 And while I was General Counsel, we got 12 in a dispute with Sheriff McMillan about 13 getting his retirement and his pay. And I 14 recall asking one of my attorneys, Lori 15 French, I said, How in the world does the 16 Police and Fire have their own lawyer? 17 They're part of the government.

And she went and found this opinion for me, which I didn't think much of it at the time, but I thought a lot of Judge Harrison. I know he honestly felt that he should do that.

And then, as I said, the 2016 opinion of Jason Gabriel kind of talks about that and really corrects what I think was a mistake

back then, even though it was in his best
 interest.

3 But really it's the situations I talked about earlier when you go hire independent 4 5 counsel. And it really comes down to when you need the expertise of somebody that 6 7 isn't in the office; for example -- and I 8 thought -- in fact, Harry used some other 9 folks. They didn't charge him. But dealing 10 with nuclear energy, that's a pretty heavy 11 subject. You don't have lawyers that do 12 that every day. But, anyway, so much for 13 that.

14 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: I'm going to 15 refer to the memo, Jason, that you've 16 produced back on September the 23rd. Is 17 there anything specific in there that you 18 want to speak to as it relates to the topic 19 of a selection of specialized counsel?

20 MR. GABRIEL: Jason Gabriel, General 21 Counsel.

No, not really. I mean, if there's a
question, obviously, I'm happy to entertain.
I think it's pretty straightforward, and
it's the process that we're actually

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 undertaking now, you know, as we lead up to
2 selecting that legislative counsel that will
3 help.

And as I've said before, I mean, the one 4 thing I'll say, is this is intended to be 5 special legalized -- or special legal 6 counsel for the Council as you undertake 7 8 this sort of oversight capacity of this JEA 9 project that's moving forward at this time. 10 But, ultimately, you know, it's got to be a 11 collaborative, cooperative effort. It can't 12 be an adversarial type proceeding.

We certainly have a lot of room for debate, discussion, and interpretation, but, ultimately, the opinions of the Office of General Counsel are the ones that prevail in any dispute.

But I only say that for -- again, for the benefit of the City. And I'm going to get into some of those concepts when I get into my slides that kind of underscore that and that proposition. But that's all I have to say at this time.

24 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: For the benefit 25 of those who may not know the story, I'll

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

come to you in just a second.

1

2 Could you sort of update us as to where 3 we are in the selection process and what 4 that process is?

MR. GABRIEL: Sure. I think what --5 from the discussions that we had a few weeks 6 7 ago, President Wilson kind of put in 8 motion -- I think everyone here is clear on 9 what that is. He put in motion him -- well, 10 he selected himself, obviously; me; and 11 Carla Miller, the Ethics Director, as sort 12 of this three-group administrative, you 13 know, staff that's going to review all of 14 the incoming proposals. He set a 15 deadline -- I think it was October 25th --16 for any firms out there. I think a lot of 17 people did some outreach and, you know, kind 18 of canvassed, you know, the local community, and even some out-of-state, you know, folks 19 20 and players.

21 And, in any event, we -- the Council 22 President set October 25th as the deadline 23 to get those proposals. Cheryl Brown did a 24 nice job of collecting them and organizing 25 them and distributing them to the three

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

folks that -- you know, him, me and Carla.
 And we have those. We're in possession of
 those. We've been reviewing them.

4 Scott has been out of town, but my 5 understanding is we're going to be meeting 6 today to discuss our reviews of those things 7 and to give some advice to the Council 8 President to then take, hopefully, a 9 selected set of counsel to the City Council 10 overall for your approval.

11 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: If I might ask, 12 as far as the timeline for that, is it set 13 yet, or have you determined it?

14 COUNCIL PRESIDENT WILSON: So our first 15 meeting was today, and we're going to 16 discuss the applicants that were received. 17 And we'll see how that conversation goes. 18 It may be worthwhile to interview some of 19 the candidates one on one if we have further 20 guestions.

21 My plan is, if we can identify a firm or 22 a set of firms, to introduce that at the 23 next council meeting. I'd like to introduce 24 it as an emergency in and out, if that's 25 possible. If we do do that, we will submit

1 that legislation or that firm to each member 2 ahead of that meeting so you have time to 3 think about it, prepare, and discuss. The reason for the in-and-out emergency 4 5 is to get someone onboard to assist us as soon as possible. 6 And like Mr. Gabriel said, whoever we 7 hire or retain will work in collaboration 8 9 with the Office of General Counsel and the 10 attorneys that JEA has hired in hopes of not 11 recreating the wheel, but assisting us in 12 helping us identify and understand some of 13 the complex questions that may arise. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Tommy. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Thank you, 16 Mr. Chairman. I didn't know if those 17 questions had already been submitted. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: No. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I don't want to 20 circumvent that. 21 Just to Judge Arnold: You said y'all 22 didn't hire, but did y'all carry -- and I 23 know we had to hire an environmental 24 attorney when we were doing landfills. And 25 we didn't have a -- technically, a law firm

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 like we have today that they do have 2 specialties. 3 Did y'all not carry forward with the environmental -- I think Frank Freedman at 4 5 the time. I didn't know if he stayed onboard when you were looking for the 6 southeast landfill or not. 7 8 And then, secondly, was it the 2014 9 legislation that allows us, or can we do it 10 without that legislation, that I think Bill 11 Gulliford offered and we just didn't 12 implement to allow the City Council to hire 13 an outside attorney? Two questions. 14 JUDGE ARNOLD: Okay. Well, on the first 15 one, we had an attorney on staff named McGuire --16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Right. 18 JUDGE ARNOLD: -- who had some 19 environmental --20 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: We had him too. 21 JUDGE ARNOLD: He left and went to 2.2 Rogers Towers later, and I think the City 23 did, in fact, retain him to help after that. When we got into the landfill 24 25 litigation, I had left and gone to

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

Holland & Knight, and we actually got hired to represent not only the City but all the other hundred businesses that were involved in putting things in the landfill. So that's an example.

6 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: And we still 7 allow -- this is a question form. But we 8 still allow others that need specialized 9 attorneys, like the JPA for the suit that's 10 going on right now with the dredging. And 11 so y'all worked with them to hire -- or pick 12 the firm. I don't know who picked them.

So it's not without precedence. We've always had outside attorneys when we couldn't provide that kind of service, whether it was to the authorities or for our own edification.

And so back to that other question and I'm done -- thank you -- and our ability to hire.

21 MR. GABRIEL: Yes. And so there's two 22 rules that apply to your question. One is 23 the Charter. You've always had -- I can 24 look and confirm as to how long it's been in 25 there. It's been certainly decades. But

you've always had in the Charter itself the
 ability to hire legislative counsel. And
 I'm talking about for City Council.

So the Charter has been consistent, at 4 least since the '80s, as far as I can tell. 5 I think from -- there was amendment in 1985 6 7 where the General Counsels, the chief legal 8 advisor, you know, he's able -- he or she is 9 able to bind all the agencies or -- you 10 know, of the consolidated city with binding 11 opinions; however, there's always been this 12 sort of outlet for legislative counsel for 13 City Council. That's always been in the 14 Charter.

15 I think what you're referring to in 16 2014 -- it was either 2013 or 2014, and I 17 think it was led by Council President 18 Gulliford at the time -- is, in line with 19 that Charter provision, there was a creation 20 of Chapter 14 in the ordinance code, and 21 that's the one I think you're referring to, 22 and we've talked about a couple times. Ιt 23 was kind of a setup for legislative counsel 24 if this City Council ever wanted that. 25 And, again, we're talking about a couple

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 of different things here. There's 2 legislative counsel, which is consistent 3 counsel akin to Peggy and Paige that gives you consistent legislative help with 4 5 legislative priorities that you guys have coming before you, drafting legislation and 6 7 opining in that terrain. Then there's also, 8 you know, specialized legal counsel -- and 9 we're talking about kind of a hybrid in this 10 case -- and that's the one where you're 11 picking someone up for some specialized --12 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Has the Council ever done that before now? 13 14 MR. GABRIEL: On the special legal --15 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Yes. 16 MR. GABRIEL: Well, okay. So on the 17 legislative counsel, I understand that in 18 the '80s the Council did do it for a couple 19 of years. I'm talking about just pure 20 legislative counsel that was hired by City 21 Council, but there was -- and I can get you 22 the piece of legislation. There was a piece 23 of legislation that ended that program, I 24 think it was after a couple years, because 25 it just wasn't working out. And they

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

thought that the intent -- there's some language in the whereases that the intent of the General Counsel's Office really services this; there's too much redundancy. So they went back to the model that we're still operating under today.

7 Your question about specialized counsel 8 for City Council, the only one that I'm 9 aware of -- and I think this is the last 10 time it happened -- was in 2013 or '14, 11 probably '13 -- a firm was engaged on a 12 limited basis -- I can pull the legislation 13 for you. It was a pension-related question 14 or a series of questions, and it was limited 15 to that.

16And so, yes, that's an example. I'm not17aware of any others, at least not recently.18COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Thank you.

19 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

20 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: I'm going to try 21 to keep us on time and on task here. But 22 I'll entertain one more question.

23 Ms. Jackson.

24 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Thank you,25 Councilman Boylan.

1 Your Honor, I appreciate you taking the 2 time and, General Counsel Gabriel, I don't 3 envy your position at all. But I do have a couple concerns as we relate to 4 5 understanding that the General Counsel is the final arbiter of all disputes between 6 different branches of city government. And 7 8 my first would be a comment.

9 I would contend that the size of the 10 city and the government in 1968 looks 11 radically different in the level of 12 complexity and membership in 2019. But, 13 more specifically for me, there should be 14 some mechanism that, if an independent 15 agency, when engaging with the City in any 16 manner, has requested outside counsel and 17 Council has granted that, it almost would 18 appear to be a trigger that the City Council's involvement would necessitate 19 20 outside counsel as well.

Does that make sense? And that I thinkwas the challenge for us in this situation.

JEA, the independent authority in
question, got outside counsel starting in
June. And we were able to finally get

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 outside counsel in September. 2 And so my question is, is there any 3 language in the Charter, or should there perhaps be an amendment that there is 4 5 something looked at or some type of truthing mechanism, if any independent agency or 6 another branch of government needs outside 7 8 counsel -- and you've said they're entitled 9 to have it -- that City Council is put on 10 notice or it then creates an opportunity at 11 that point for them to get outside counsel 12 as well? 13 JUDGE ARNOLD: You want me to answer 14 that? 15 MR. GABRIEL: (Indicating.) 16 JUDGE ARNOLD: If an independent 17 authority hires outside counsel to help them 18 with something, it doesn't automatically 19 create an adversary situation. They still 20 have to give them honest legal advice of the way it is. That advice should be shared 21 2.2 with the Council fully, everything they tell 23 that independent authority should be. 24 So you don't -- you shouldn't have an 25 adversarial situation. The General Counsel

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 should be able to take care of every 2 question, legal question or otherwise, 3 dealing with that situation. So my answer is, No, you don't need to 4 go hire another attorney. You can just --5 you can rely on the General Counsel's 6 7 Office, unless they don't have the 8 expertise. 9 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: And that's 10 actually this scenario. 11 So it really wasn't -- I'm not looking 12 at it as adversarial. And I know that our 13 General Counsel has been put in a different 14 position in terms of folks not agreeing with 15 necessarily whatever opinion you're giving 16 based on your legal research. So that's

18 position.

17

Mine is, in the case with JEA and this nuance situation, they got outside counsel because of different areas of expertise --JUDGE ARNOLD: Right.

23 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: -- that they
 24 said they needed. General Counsel granted
 25 that because there would be the presumption

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

created adversity for some. That's not my

1 that the 40 -- how many members in your 2 office?

3 MR. GABRIEL: 43.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: 43. 43 members 5 of the General Counsel staff lacked that 6 expertise.

So I would say if they lacked the
expertise with JEA, then the companion to
that is you lack the expertise with the City
Council.

Is there a mechanism or would it be appropriate for any amendment to the Charter or legislation to say in those scenarios. I'm not talking about form shopping for a different attorney to advocate a different position. That's not what I'm saying.

But you made a finding that your office
did not have the expertise for JEA;
consequently, they were able to retain seven
different law firms.

21 So, to me, that would just almost be, by 22 default, an indicator that the City Council 23 needs outside counsel because you found it 24 in the scenario for that entity, and it's 25 just JEA today that we didn't have it.

1 Would it be appropriate via legislation 2 or a Charter amendment to say, at that point -- which I'm not talking about bond 3 counsel; I'm not talking about some other 4 5 nuance environmental issue or something like that; but would it not be appropriate if, in 6 7 that scenario, when you have deemed it 8 necessary for outside counsel because the 9 Office of General Counsel lacks the 10 expertise, should it not be some truthing 11 mechanism for City Council at that point 12 that we need to look at it, or City Council 13 needs -- and that's just the honest 14 question.

15 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Mr. Gabriel, 16 before you respond to the question, to keep 17 us on time and task, I'd like to put this in 18 the parking lot, that question, and give you 19 a chance to address it at a subsequent 20 meeting, because I'm sure you're going to 21 want to give some thought to your response 22 to that question; because I think it's a 23 quite valid question to the extent, what 24 should the reaction or, you know, 25 consequence of an independent authority

1 reaching out for additional counsel beyond 2 what we have, because it does certainly 3 prompt that thought. JUDGE ARNOLD: Well, since I'm going to 4 be through in a minute --5 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: All right. In a 6 7 minute. 8 JUDGE ARNOLD: -- let me give a very 9 brief answer. 10 JEA is an independent authority. In my 11 opinion, they're still subservient to y'all. 12 Okay. Y'all --13 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: My opinion too, 14 just so you know. 15 JUDGE ARNOLD: You know, it used to be 16 the City Electric Department. It was a 17 whole lot easier then. You just told them 18 what to do. Now they got their own people 19 that tell y'all what to do. 20 In any event, those lawyers over there 21 that they hired, every one of those people 22 should be representing the City Council as 23 well. Everything they tell the JEA they 24 should tell you. Every opinion they have, 25 you should call them in front of the City

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 Council and ask them, How come this is your 2 opinion? And if they already got eight 3 expertise people, and you like the answers they're giving you, fine; otherwise, you 4 5 have your own backup set of attorneys to answer things too. Plus, you can have 6 7 Mr. Gabriel come in and cross-examine these 8 other lawyers if you want to.

9 So I still see it as one government. 10 That's my point, and they all work for you.

11 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Well, that's the 12 perfect segue to our next conversation here. 13 And, quite honestly, Judge, and I appreciate 14 that, that's exactly what we're going to be 15 doing with this scenario.

We are going to be inviting the JEA folks, the counsel and people who are advising them in this process to come and share with us their thinking for what they advised to the JEA at the point in time we need to do that.

But I do want to move on to the next point of our presentation, which really is focusing on the authority of the Council, that line of demarcation I talked about

before. And we're going to give you 15 minutes, Jason, to make a presentation, and then we'll open up the floor to questions from the group.

5 MR. GABRIEL: Thank you, Council Member 6 Boylan. And I just want to say for the 7 record, I completely agree with what the 8 Judge has said and Council Member Brenda 9 Priestly Jackson.

10 JUDGE ARNOLD: It's always good to agree 11 with the Judge.

12 MR. GABRIEL: Yeah. I've got to say 13 that. I've got to say that for the record. 14 I'm agreeing with the Judge.

15 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Well, allow me 16 to recognize for the record, too, that 17 Mr. Salem and Mr. Carlucci have since joined 18 the group, and I appreciate your taking the 19 time. And Former Mayor John Delaney is in 20 the back of the room, but I can't recognize 21 him.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There he is.
COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: All right, John.
There he is. Thank you for being here. I
appreciate it.

1

Mr. Gabriel		
	Ъ/Г = o	
		Gapriel

MR. GABRIEL: So I kind of tailored this 2 3 presentation. I've got some slides up, mostly not going to be too many pictorials. 4 There's going to be a lot of words on the 5 slides, but I think it's going to help with 6 7 sort of articulating the points I want to 8 make here today. 9 And I've tailored this, I mean, you

10 know, with consolidation, the Charter, the 11 City at-large, we can get into all sorts of 12 esoteric discussions, but I know Mr. Boylan 13 wants us to be short and sweet and succinct 14 and to the point. So that's what we're 15 going to do.

16 So I've tailored this in a way so that 17 we are talking about -- just to provide a 18 quick brief framework, I'm going to talk 19 about the City and the independent agencies 20 and identify all the independent agencies 21 under the Charter. But, of course, I'm 2.2 going to bring it to the JEA in particular, 23 because that's kind of the concentration of 24 this whole workshop and this program going 25 forward.

1 I want to start off, though, with -- and 2 it's a great seque from the discussion we just had -- that all roads lead to City 3 Council, particularly -- so when we overview 4 the agencies and their interplay with the 5 City, particularly with respect to JEA --6 7 and I'm going to get into the sort of 8 spectrum of independence that there is with 9 the agencies, but with respect to JEA in 10 particular, all roads lead to City Council. 11 No matter how this potential JEA

12 privatization goes, no matter what direction 13 is takes, even -- and we're going to go into 14 hypotheticals as to what directions it could 15 have taken, they all lead to City Council. 16 No way around it.

17 So just as a preface to this discussion, 18 I want to just outline real quick the 19 applicable laws. And I want to start from 20 the top and go down.

In addition to federal law, of course, and state law, and statutes -- you know, the statutes of Florida, the City of Jacksonville is guided by really two major sources of law: the Charter and the

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1

Ordinance Code.

2 The Charter contains the general organic 3 principles by which the City must function, including the City's foundation and general 4 legal framework. The Ordinance Code, on the 5 other hand, contains the official laws of 6 the City which provide the enabling 7 8 mechanisms to carry out the general 9 principles stated in the Charter. 10 So you can think of the Charter as kind of like the local constitution for 11 12 Jacksonville, and you can think of the 13 Ordinance Code as sort of the local statutes 14 that carry out those concepts. 15 The City's -- and so this -- and then 16 this is the most important point in all of 17 this, because it underscores everything else 18 we're going to talk about, because people 19 scratch their head when they look at 20 Jacksonville. You know, it's truly unique. 21 It's truly the only true, pure form of 22 consolidation. The constitution contemplates a few 23 24 different forms, Miami-Dade being another. 25 But even Miami-Dade has its own county

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 commission and separate city commission. 2 And even Miami-Dade, by the way, when you transpose -- I'm going to get to the 3 Jacksonville consolidation amendment in a 4 second, which is in the Florida 5 constitution; but when you transpose the 6 7 Miami-Dade part of the constitution with the 8 Duval County one, they're very similar in a 9 lot of different ways, but Miami-Dade 10 retained this sort of two-layer county/city 11 type government.

12 And, by the way, they carved out the 13 School Board in Miami-Dade, unlike in 14 Jacksonville; deliberately carved out the 15 School Board in Miami-Dade. They have their 16 own separate School Board, but Jacksonville 17 did not do that. And that's an important 18 point in other venues.

19 So the Florida Constitution. A lot of 20 people and a lot of lawyers in Jacksonville 21 are very aware of all the articles and 22 sections which pertain to the School Board, 23 the county officers, Sheriff, Tax Collector, 24 Property Appraiser, all those folks, and 25 even other sorts of special districts.

1 I submit that until you work on a city 2 project, or until you work in the City itself, you really don't come across what is 3 called the Jacksonville Consolidation 4 Amendment. But that exists. It's out 5 there. And, really, again, unless you're 6 doing something that's City related or in 7 8 the boughs of the City, you're really not 9 going to come across this thing that much, 10 but it's there. And it has the force of law 11 from the highest levels of the state.

12 So just as a preface to this, the state 13 of Florida is governed by the Florida Constitution of 1968, as amended. That's 14 15 the constitution that everyone refers to in 16 common legal parlance in Florida. And, 17 generally, local government units, as you 18 know, are broken down into two types of 19 units in Florida. You've got counties and 20 municipalities.

In every county but Duval, the Florida
Constitution creates a county government.
Every county has at least one city
government whereby the county and the city,
or cities, can exercise separate

1

governmental powers.

2 The City of Jacksonville Charter 3 established the consolidated form of government, which I've referred to, and 4 5 that's in the 1967 approved -- legislatively approved constitution; that basically what 6 7 the legislature did is it established the 8 Charter based upon specific authority in the 9 constitution.

10 And let me summarize it, because there's 11 a lot -- there's three different years, and 12 you keep hearing different years with 13 respect to the Jacksonville Consolidation of 14 Government -- or Jacksonville Consolidation 15 Government Amendment. And maybe -- I tried 16 to simplify it as much as I could, and 17 here's the best way I think I can say it.

18 The Jacksonville specific consolidation amendment in the Florida Constitution was 19 20 put in place by voter approved amendment in 21 1934. But in 1934 there was the 1885 22 Constitution. So in 1934 there was an 23 amendment to the 1885 Constitution that 24 created this ability for Jacksonville to 25 completely consolidate. It's been in the

1 books since 1934 and was exercised in 1967, 2 and ever since 1968 has been in play. 3 So -- you know, if there's any questions about that, we can go over that again, but 4 that's the case there. 5 I don't expect anyone here to be able to 6 7 read all the words on that slide, but I just 8 put it there because a couple of -- a few 9 Council Members were like, Give me the 10 specific code provision. Give me the 11 specific constitutional provision. Well, 12 there it is on the screen. So --13 COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: Can I ask --MR. GABRIEL: Yes. 14 15 COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: -- does anybody 16 have a copy of this? Because we don't. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: No. I asked him 18 to create four copies for us because we had our back to the screen. 19 20 MR. GABRIEL: And if you'd like, I can 21 have copies sent to everybody. 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: And it will be 23 published on the website as well. 24 MR. GABRIEL: Yeah. 25 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Randy, you

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1

still can't read it.

2 MR. GABRIEL: Well, I could print out 3 the Charter itself.

Well, the point of this is, again, it's 4 5 everything that I just described. But this is the -- this is -- what's before you, the 6 7 words on that screen slide there, that is the Jacksonville Consolidation Amendment 8 9 where it basically abolished, altered, 10 transferred all of the Commission's boards, bodies and officers in existence at the time 11 12 and incorporated them under one unitary 13 municipal corporation called the City of 14 Jacksonville.

And that's an important thing to keep in mind as we talk about the interplay of the independent agencies and all these other things: one unitary municipal corporation called the City of Jacksonville.

20 So just as a quick sort of primer on the 21 Charter itself, because that sort of lends 22 itself to the foundation of the more 23 specific conversation, I just want to state, 24 you know, the Charter itself, again, sort of 25 the constitution, creates and establishes

these sort of broad-stroke concepts that
 frame the government of the City of
 Jacksonville.

What you have in there are -- and, 4 5 again, this is a legislatively approved, as sanctioned by the constitution, Charter. 6 Ιt 7 includes provisions related to the 8 independent agencies, which we're going to 9 touch on in a minute. It includes 10 provisions related to what are commonly known in the other 66 counties as 11 12 constitutional officers. They are 13 constitutional officers in Jacksonville, but 14 the species sometimes becomes a main source 15 of the vernacular employed when we talk 16 about these things because, inasmuch as the 17 Sheriff, Supervisor of Elections, Property 18 Appraiser, Tax Collector, and Clerk of the 19 Courts are constitutional officers, they're 20 also municipal officers in Jacksonville. Unlike the other 66 counties, they're 21 22 municipal officers in Jacksonville.

And in addition to that, you know, the Charter, as we know, contains these very strong, traditional federal-type separation

of powers. We have a strong executive
 branch of government, and we do have a
 strong, I think, legislative branch of
 government as well. So it pertains to those
 provisions.

What is an independent agency? 6 The Charter itself doesn't have a definition. 7 8 But it has a provision. And I don't think I 9 have a slide on this, but I'll -- if you 10 want to write it down, it's in 18.07 of the 11 Charter. In fact, it's 18.07D, and it 12 defines -- and I'll get into it in a minute. 13 It actually defines who the independent 14 agencies are, and it's not exhaustive. And 15 I'll touch on that too, but it's in there.

16 But while these independent agencies, as 17 I'm going to touch on, have varying degrees 18 of independence, according to their 19 constitutionally sanctioned and 20 legislatively approved Charter articles, they all bear some similar hallmarks. And 21 22 that's what I have up on the screen. And so 23 this is kind of a summary of what those 24 hallmarks are.

25 So, for example, each are considered

bodies, politic and corporate, with the
ability to sue and be sued. Each have their
own executive and policymaking powers with
respect to, you know, employment,
operational powers and duties and things of
that sort.

7 But an important point, even with that 8 independence, is that each has a 9 relationship with the City to some varying 10 degree. Each one has a relationship with 11 the City. Some are required to use all 12 central services, including our office. 13 Some are required to use procurement, some 14 aren't. You know, these are all set forth, 15 depending on the agency.

16 So, you know, notwithstanding the 17 independence, there's a certain level of 18 tethering. And, again, it's different for 19 each agency.

20 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: If I may 21 interject very quickly, we'll make sure it 22 gets on the website, but there was a 23 two-page summary that Mr. Clements had 24 created that spoke to each of the agencies 25 or authorities and their relationship to

other entities outside of the City of
 Jacksonville.

7

8

9

10

MR. GABRIEL: Yes, yes. And it was a good one. I have one, maybe, clarification to it, but it was a good summary. So hopefully everybody has that.

And so just to touch on the identified independent agencies in the Charter, let's start with the Duval County School Board. It's in Article 13 of our City Charter.

That one is a little different from the 11 12 other ones in the sense that that's the only 13 one -- it's considered an independent 14 agency. I mention 18.07 in the Charter 15 defined -- it defines independent agencies; 16 School Board is one of them. But I will 17 tell you that that is the only one that has 18 its own elected body. When we get through 19 all the other ones, they're all appointed. 20 But that's the only one with an elected body 21 of seven members from -- you know, as 22 everybody knows, they come from nonpartisan 23 elected districts, seven districts, which 24 are comprised of, I think, every two of the 25 14 Council districts, as we all know.

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

So, you know, that's how they're set up, and they have some -- they have quite a bit of independence, obviously, by their very nature and their function. There are some tetherings in the Charter, and we can talk about that later if you'd like. But, anyway, I just wanted to note that.

8 The Police and Fire Pension Fund is 9 their -- the board of trustees. That one 10 consists of five members: two city of 11 Jacksonville residents appointed by the City 12 Council, one police officer elected by the 13 majority of the police officer members of 14 the pension, and then a firefighter elected 15 in the same manner, and then a fifth member 16 chosen by a majority of the other four who's 17 confirmed by Council.

18 You have a JAA Board that consists of 19 seven members: three appointed by the Mayor 20 and confirmed by Council; four appointed by 21 the Governor, confirmed by the Senate.

JPA is sort of the opposite -- same, but the opposite in terms of the -- who picks who. The JPA Board consists of seven members: four appointed by the Mayor and

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

confirmed by the Council; three appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate.

And then you have a JHA Board. That one comes by virtue of Florida Statute and Chapter 421. That one consists of seven members, all appointed by the Mayor, confirmed by the Council.

1

2

8 The JTA, our transportation authority, 9 that one is unique in the sense that it's 10 well established in the Florida Statutes. 11 There's actually a whole chapter, 349, 12 that's dedicated purely to Jacksonville 13 Transportation Authority. And that one sets 14 up a board that consists of seven members: 15 three appointed by the Mayor, confirmed by 16 Council; three appointed by the Governor, 17 confirmed by the Senate; and then the last 18 person is the district secretary for DOT, serving Jacksonville. 19

20 And then, last but not least, the JEA 21 Board. That one consists of seven members 22 appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by this 23 City Council.

24 So I think it's important that you have 25 that sort of framework so you can just see

1 sort of the variety and groupings of the 2 agencies that are involved. 3 And so now, at this point, I'd like to quickly turn over to the articles that are 4 5 pertinent to JEA. Am I doing okay on time, Council Member? 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: You've got --8 we'll give you a couple extra minutes. 9 MR. GABRIEL: Oh, okay. All right. And 10 I'm almost done. 11 But I think these are important because 12 I think I saw several questions that came 13 up, and I think if you -- they're all kind 14 of similar in a way. And, of course, we'll 15 entertain the questions here as we speak. 16 But the three provisions I'm going to 17 bring up here -- or two, are very pertinent 18 to those questions. 19 So there have been some questions asked 20 whether JEA can do what it's doing in terms 21 of this privatization option that it's 2.2 currently looking at and undertaking. And 23 the answer to that is yes. 24 And, by the way, that's not the only way 25 that it can be pursued. There's a variety

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 of ways: one initiated by the Mayor; one initiated by the JEA in a different format 2 3 that doesn't require procurement; or, potentially -- I haven't studied it yet -- a 4 5 City Council Member could probably put something in the hopper. I haven't reviewed 6 that yet, but that may be yet another. So 7 8 it's important.

9 But this theme of all roads lead to City 10 Council, the opening section of a potential 11 JEA sale, has some potential different ways 12 of how that can --

13COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: What do you14mean by a JEA member -- I mean a City15Council Member could introduce something?16MR. GABRIEL: Yeah. Through the Chair17to Council Member Carlucci, what I mean by18that is -- my only point in that is that

19there are potentially a variety of ways that20a privatization review could be initiated.

21 And I'm mentioning for the record, I 22 haven't studied that, but as I'm thinking it 23 through, certainly JEA has the ability to do 24 it; the City -- the Mayor has the ability to 25 do it; regardless, it has to come to City

1	Council. But what I am mentioning is that
2	perhaps a City Council Member could also do
3	that too. I only mention that
4	COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: And I'll stop
5	with that.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Thank you, sir.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: And wait till
8	questions and answers.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: I appreciate it.
10	Thank you.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: I have a
12	question when we get there.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Please.
14	MR. GABRIEL: So it's important to point
15	out the power. Where does that come from,
16	that power?
17	Well, it's in Article 21. It's in
18	Section 21.04(p). And, by the way, this is
19	just a section a subsection of that
20	overall section. And this was recently
21	approved by your predecessor City Council,
22	and I'll get into what exactly it was. But
23	initiated by Councilman Crescimbeni. There
24	was a straw ballot that spoke to this. So
25	this was a studied provision.

1 And what it says is, basically, that JEA 2 can transfer any function or operation which 3 comprises more than ten percent of the total of the utility's systems by sale, lease, or 4 otherwise to any other utility, public or 5 private, without approval of the Council; 6 7 provided, however, that no approval by the council shall become effective without 8 9 subsequent referendum approval of the terms 10 and conditions of the sale.

Ilm sorry. But the way it reads -maybe the way I read it might have sounded a
little off. They can't sell more than ten
percent without the approval of council. I
hope everyone caught that.

16 So the flip side of that is they could 17 sell less than ten percent. And there's got 18 to be some initiating factor into what 19 they're selling --

20 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: And I think 21 there's consideration about they can't 22 piecemeal it. Sell ten -- or nine today --23 MR. GABRIEL: That's correct. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: -- and nine

25 tomorrow and nine the day after.

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

MR. GABRIEL: That was a -- a previous Council Member had asked that very question. So, anyway -- and I'm sure we're going to talk about that further when there's questions. I want to move on real quick to another

7 section, 21.05, which is -- the only point 8 of this is that the JEA has been given broad 9 plenary powers within the space of 10 utilities. So JEA is empowered under the 11 Charter with these liberally construed 12 powers to carry out their utility-based 13 operations and duties, 21.05 of the Charter.

14 Oh, and then I just want to mention 15 this. It's not on the slides. I'll mention 16 another provision.

17 21.09 of the Charter states that the JEA
18 is not subject to Chapter 126 of the City's
19 Ordinance Code, which is the procurement
20 code. It is applicable if JEA doesn't have
21 its own procurement rules; however, JEA does
22 have its own procurement rules. So that's
23 an important point.

24 Real quick, I just -- everyone, we've 25 gone over this, I think, over the summer --

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 the ways to amend the Charter. There's a few different ways that that can be done. 2 I mention that because there are some 3 specified areas that can only be done by 4 5 referendum. The City Council has a lot of home rule power on another -- on a whole set 6 of other areas; but that's important because 7 8 that's a backdrop for a specified provision 9 in the Charter unique to JEA that is unlike 10 any other provision anywhere in the Charter 11 where, with a few, very limited 12 restrictions, City Council with those -- a 13 bunch of words on there say, is, basically, 14 City Council can amend, really, any portion 15 of the article of JEA, anything in Article 16 21, with some limited exception, with a 17 two-thirds vote.

18 That's -- and so that point right there, 19 while there's varying degrees of 20 independence with all these various agencies, the point of that and what that 21 22 provision underscores is that the JEA is the 23 closest to the City in terms of its 24 dependence and capacity for the City Council 25 to effect change, an amendment to the JEA,

the control, JEA's destiny, and those sorts
 of things.

3 So I kind of rushed through this, and I'll wrap up, and I'll basically say, as I 4 said at the outset of this whole 5 presentation, all roads lead to City 6 7 Council. And you have ultimate legislative 8 authority to do a lot, if not everything, in 9 this case. And we can talk about, you know, 10 those --

11 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Thank you,12 Jason.

13 Before we entertain questions, let me 14 just comment, for the benefit of the 15 audience here, that we advised and provided 16 the opportunity for City Council Members at 17 our meeting on Monday to provide questions 18 in advance. You know, there was hope that 19 they would be involved and incorporated in 20 the presentations that are being made today, but not all of them did. 21

Those questions also will be posted online for others to see as well. And in future topics, we are providing information well in advance to City Council Members so

1 they can generate their questions, and those 2 too will be put on in advance, as well as 3 the comments or questions raised by individuals who utilize the website and 4 5 providing us with some comments on it. With that I will ask, Mr. Ferraro. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Thank you. 8 So, Mr. Gabriel, if I heard you 9 correctly in part of that, so if I, as a 10 City Council Member, wanted to put forth a 11 bill to put the electric authority back 12 under City Council, that would be something 13 I could put through and have it as a -- to 14 JEA's department? Then you could have the 15 electric department, the sewer department, 16 and the water department. What would that 17 end up doing with JEA? Would that be 18 getting rid of board members? How would 19 that be, of what you're talking about?

20 MR. GABRIEL: So through the Chair to21 Council Member Ferraro.

The quick answer to your question is, Yes, the City Council could do that. It could dissolve JEA and bring it back under the bosom of the City, and make it a City

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 department, a utility department. It could do that. There's a -- you have the 2 3 two-thirds vote. You'd have to amend the Charter. We'd have to look at some other 4 5 things. But that would be just a direct answer to your question. 6

7 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: I know we're 8 talking just about JEA, but would that be 9 with all the authorities, just as a 10 quick answer --

11 MR. GABRIEL: Actually, the answer to that is, I believe, no, because, for 12 13 example, The Port and The Aviation Authority 14 were split in 2001 legislatively. They used 15 to be under one title. And those are 16 legislatively approved. I'd have to 17 research that, but something tells me that I 18 think some legislative -- some state 19 legislature approval may be required in that 20 case.

21 Again, underscoring the point that JEA 22 is much more under the dependence -- or 23 they're dependent on the City.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Okay. 25 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Mr. Carlucci,

24

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1	you had a follow-up.
2	COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: Randy was
3	before me, but I'll I'll wait my turn.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: All right.
5	Ms. DeFoor.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: Thank you,
7	Mr. Chairperson.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Councilwoman
9	DeFoor.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: I want to make a
11	statement, and then I'm going to ask a
12	question, because my question, I don't
13	think, was answered. So I'm going to re-ask
14	my question.
15	But as a statement, thank you, Judge
16	Arnold, for being here, because one of the
17	things that we find ourselves in and why
18	we're here today is that we have been kept
19	completely in the dark. So when these
20	outside counsel were hired for the JEA and
21	then memorandums of legal opinions were
22	given, we to this day have not received
23	those legal opinions. So we don't know what

25 know, we have no idea.

24

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

was asked of them. We don't know -- you

1 So I'm going to ask that we be provided 2 with those legal opinions so that that can 3 help us clarify where we are today. Secondly, I have reviewed the Charter, 4 and specifically 21, and I did not see 5 anywhere in there where the JEA has 6 authority to seek to sell themselves. I 7 8 didn't see -- everything that I read talked 9 about keeping itself in existence. 10 So if you could show me where that is. 11 MR. GABRIEL: It's section 21.04(p) of the Charter. 12 13 COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: I read that, but 14 that referenced further existence of the 15 JEA. It did not represent selling itself. 16 MR. GABRIEL: I read it differently than 17 you. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: Okay. Well --19 MR. GABRIEL: Yeah. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: Let's read it 21 together. 22 Nothing in this article shall authorize 23 or be construed to authorize JEA to transfer 24 any function or operation which comprises 25 more than ten percent without the approval

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 of City Council. What did I miss? 2 MR. GABRIEL: Right. So how does it 3 determine --COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: So how are they 4 able to do this today without our approval? 5 MR. GABRIEL: JEA today -- if this ITN 6 7 wasn't in play, they chose to choose a 8 procurement process as a channel to bring it 9 to City Council. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: Okay. That 11 leads me to my second question. 12 A procurement process --13 MR. GABRIEL: I wouldn't be able to -- I 14 wouldn't be able to --15 COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: Well, it's in my 16 stuff. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Okay. But let 18 her finish -- let him finish responding. MR. GABRIEL: Well, I want to propose 19 20 that what JEA could have done is they could have reached out to one vendor, or one 21 2.2 bidder, come up with a package, a sales 23 transaction, packaged it up, and brought it 24 to City Council without a procurement 25 process.

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: Okay. Well,
 that led me to my second question, which you
 were provided before we were here today.

A procurement process is the acquisition of goods and services. I have never seen a procurement process used to sell oneself. Can you tell me how that's possible legally?

8 MR. GABRIEL: It's possible legally. I 9 mean, I don't know how to help you with that 10 basic understanding of the law. It can 11 certainly use the procurement process to 12 sell itself.

I guess one could argue that that's a more objective and more aboveboard way of trying to objectively look at what may be out there as opposed to going directly to any vendor and packaging a deal and bringing it.

19I mean, there's a variety of ways that20this could be taken up and brought to City21Council; but let's not lose sight of the22fact that City Council is the one with the23ultimate legislative approval to approve or24deny this whole thing. They have the right25to do that. They're an independent

1

authority.

2 If you want to change the controls and the authority of JEA, do so in the -- with 3 the power I've just told you about, with a 4 two-thirds vote, change the JEA's Charter 5 and do it. 6 7 It was done recently under Council Member Crescimbeni and his Charter amendment 8 9 to add that the voters have to actually 10 approve any sale. That wasn't in there 11 before. It ended with City Council. But 12 now the voters get to approve it. 13 So this was studied. This was discussed. It's been debated and there's --14 15 COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: Where has it been studied? Can you provide that to us? 16 17 MR. GABRIEL: It's on the website. 18 There's about two years' worth of literature 19 and the resources that -- from your 20 predecessors and so forth. Absolutely, I'll 21 send it to you. 22 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: And if I may 23 interject, too, we are going to obviously 24 reach out to the counsel of JEA through this 25 process. We will have a chance to speak to

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

them directly with respect to their opinions and recommendations they have made to JEA during the course of this process, but just not today.

5 MR. GABRIEL: And, Council Member 6 Boylan, if I may just say, any memos that 7 counsel to JEA have provided, you're 8 entitled to.

9 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Correct.

10 MR. GABRIEL: If that's what you want, 11 we'll get those packaged and get it over to 12 you because, as the Judge said earlier, he's 13 absolutely right -- and some of this has 14 been discussed. I think I mentioned it 15 before -- you're entitled to all the 16 information that JEA has in terms of all 17 this stuff.

18 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Correct.

Mr. Carlucci, you had another -- afollow-up question.

21 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: Yes.

22 Observation. I believe in the authority 23 system. I believe in it. It's there for a 24 reason and -- but somewhat dovetailing on 25 what Council Member DeFoor was saying, is

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

there a way -- I mean, there's always a way. John Lanahan said, With 10 votes you can get anything you want in Jacksonville. I think it takes 13 now, but it used to be 10. Can a council member introduce legislation, an ordinance, to just pull the

7 plug on this whole thing? Because it's so 8 off track, and the trust level is so low, 9 that sometimes you just have to pull the 10 plug. That was done in the previous 11 administration when there was a pension bill 12 going through. And that's kind of where 13 we're at.

14 So can the Council, if somehow 10 votes 15 were to be gathered, or 13, pull the plug on 16 this whole process and take a breather, because it's -- it is incorporating so much 17 18 of our time. And then we're going to have 19 Lot J coming down the pike. We're going to 20 have so many other City business issues 21 coming up, and this is absorbing so much of 22 our time of something that really the people 23 don't want.

24 So can a council member introduce an 25 ordinance to unplug this whole thing at

1 whatever time that might be? 2 MR. GABRIEL: Through the Chair to 3 Councilman Carlucci -- and, you know, I'm not going to speak to trust levels and 4 what information --5 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: You don't have 6 7 to. 8 MR. GABRIEL: No, no, no. I know. 9 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: I'm just --10 I'm giving my observations from my 11 constituents. MR. GABRIEL: Understood. Understood. 12 13 To answer your question, the answer is, 14 No, not during the pendency of a procurement 15 process, a valid procurement process. Now, 16 ultimately, if it makes its way to you, you 17 can vote it down. 18 I want to also say -- and this is in 19 relation that, I think, some conversations 20 I've had with Council Member Priestly 21 Jackson -- is you're also not -- as long as, 2.2 again, we're not talking about the integrity 23 or the specifics in a way that involve 24 bidders or things like that on the ongoing 25 procurement processes being undertaken,

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 there is the ability for you to change The 2 Charter of JEA today -- again, as long as 3 we're adhering to these rules we've talked about -- to change those powers and 4 5 functions in a way so that in the future -so in a way, so that in the future if there 6 were ever a discussion of potentially 7 8 selling JEA, they get your permission first; 9 or that only the City Council would be 10 involved with that process.

11 You could change the Charter to do that, 12 but there is an ongoing procurement process 13 right now. There's something called the 14 cardinal rule in procurement that we have to 15 adhere to, which is, You can't mess up the 16 ongoing integrity of a process.

17 Now, to answer your question, I think 18 one of the things you could do -- JEA is 19 right down the street. Y'all can -- are 20 more than welcome, within the confines of 21 Sunshine, to be talking to JEA Management 22 and others to talk about what you just said. 23 And if that's really an issue, convince JEA 24 to pull the plug. If that's such a hard 25 principle for you, then you're welcome to do

so.

1

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Council Member 3 Carlucci, I certainly appreciate your comments, and I'm hopeful that as a group 4 5 that we take this journey together and keep an open mind in this process. I think we 6 7 have to be informed and engaged. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: I want to know 9 what all of our options are. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: And I can't 11 be -- I don't know that we ought to be 12 specifically reactive to -- what all of us 13 need, we've got a lot of learning to do in 14 this process, and I'm hopeful that --15 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: Mr. Chairman, 16 I just want to know what our options are as 17 a council. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: And I think we 19 understand that the options are that we have an opportunity at this day to change the 20 21 Charter. 22 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: I am not 23 interested in changing the Charter, unless 24 it's needed to be. I believe in our 25 Charter. I just think we've gone astray on

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 this issue.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: So I understand 3 from your response then the Charter -- right now, to stop the procurement process, the 4 5 only way we could do that is by making a change to the Charter. 6 7 MR. GABRIEL: You could change 8 fundamental operational parts of the Charter 9 and make it so that only City Council could 10 initiate it. 11 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Right. 12 Councilman Dennis was next in the queue. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Thank you, 14 Councilman Boylan, and through the Chair. 15 Judge Arnold, thank you for being here. 16 I have, you know, one question specifically 17 for you, and then the other two questions --18 two quick questions for General Counsel 19 Gabriel. 20 How do you feel about individuals 21 speaking to this body under oath and -- you 2.2 know, what's your view on that? 23 JUDGE ARNOLD: Well, as I recall, the 24 Charter does have a provision authorizing 25 you to administer oaths to folks.

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 My personal opinion is you ought to 2 reserve that and use it only very carefully 3 to people who you think may be coming in 4 here and lying to you. If they're just 5 folks that are coming here to provide you 6 information, you don't need to administer an 7 oath.

8 COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Do you think 9 that this rises to the occasion to 10 administer oath? I mean, this is something 11 huge. And I know I received something last 12 night in the mail from -- I mean, an email 13 from the Civic Council where they've been 14 slow to get information from JEA, and I know 15 I've been slow to get information. And it 16 just -- you know, not to talk about the 17 trust factor; but do you think this major 18 transaction with the entire city watching on 19 what we do, does this rise to the level of 20 anyone that comes before us take an oath?

And all due respect, when someone comes to your courtroom, you know, regardless of if they're -- you know, are they under oath? JUDGE ARNOLD: Well, everybody is when you go to court. That would be a decision

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

for the majority of the Council to decide.
If you want to place everybody under oath,
that's certainly up to y'all to do and you
certainly can do it. Whether you should or
not I think is y'all's decision. I just
hate what they do in Washington, so it
bothers me, but...

8 COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Yeah. I totally 9 understand that.

10 My next question: I was always under 11 the impression that only 19 people in the 12 City of Jacksonville can file legislation, 13 not the executive branch, not independent 14 authorities. Is that true? Can JEA file 15 legislation? Can the School Board file 16 legislation? I thought only 19 people in 17 the City can file legislation.

18 MR. GABRIEL: Through the Chair to 19 Council Member Dennis. So it would have to 20 be introduced by a council member. So, I mean, just like a bunch of different 21 22 legislation that the Mayor's office does 23 from time to time, the Mayor's office could 24 pack- -- conceivably package something and 25 introduce it through the Council President,

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

and then it would be before you. That's how
 that would work.

And then on the JEA side, they have a board. They can pass a resolution, whatever the proposition, and they can request that the Council President introduce it, or I suppose a council member.

8 But, yes, I mean, your -- Council is in 9 charge of your legislation, that's correct.

10 COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: So even when the 11 Mayor files legislation, the Mayor cannot 12 file legislation. He has to have a sponsor, 13 whether it's the Council President or one of 14 the other 18 members. So the Mayor alone 15 cannot file legislation?

MR. GABRIEL: To answer your question, yes, there is the requirement that it be introduced, and there's some code provisions that speak to introducing by the Council President and it not be in --

21 COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: So to one of my 22 colleagues, one of my colleagues said that 23 it has to be -- I think a question -- JEA 24 cannot file legislation.

25 So JEA can put a package together, bring

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 it over to Council, but if none of the 19 2 introduces it, it's dead on arrival. Am I 3 correct?

MR. GABRIEL: You are correct, except 4 that the Council -- there's a provision in 5 the Charter -- I think it's in the Ordinance 6 7 Code, that speaks to if there's a 8 resolution -- there's an administerial 9 operational duty on the Council President 10 that when -- you know, when any of the 11 collegial bodies pass something, it's 12 introduced; and even goes on to say, Just 13 because the Council President is introducing 14 it, doesn't mean he's endorsing it or she's 15 endorsing it. But there is that in there.

16 COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: So the Council 17 President is required to file legislation 18 that is brought to --

MR. GABRIEL: I believe so, yeah, under the ordinance --

21 COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Shall or may? 22 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: All right. Can 23 we move on? Did you get your answer --24 COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Yeah. And my 25 last question: Through the procurement

1 process, is there a clause in the 2 procurement process that at any point the 3 City of Jacksonville can pull a bid or RFP or ITN off the street even in the middle of 4 5 the process? MR. GABRIEL: I don't have it before me. 6 7 It's been a while since I've looked at it, 8 but I believe -- and now we're talking about 9 City specified procurements. I mean, I 10 think --11 COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Government 12 procurement. 13 MR. GABRIEL: I think there is an 14 ability for the City to pull -- I guess you 15 called it pull the plug. I think they can 16 reject all -- you've got to be, obviously, 17 fair play on all of it. You've got to, you 18 know, do it across the board. But I think 19 there is a requirement to that degree, I 20 think. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: So we'll 2.2 identify that and make sure that information 23 comes to you, Mr. Dennis. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Thank you. 25 Thank you.

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Mr. Hazouri. 2 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Thank you. 3 Just a quick question. Just for clarification, earlier you 4 said -- and I think Chuck may have said 5 it -- that we can put our ears to whatever 6 7 the -- the JEA attorneys are really working 8 with us too, or work for us, or however you 9 want to describe it.

But if -- how do we do it in sync without waiting to read the minutes or reading whatever? How do -- our attorney, maybe our outside attorney, or y'all, how do we find out what they're saying at the same time that they're saying it?

MR. GABRIEL: So, you know, let's be careful.

18 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I just think 19 it's important --

20 MR. GABRIEL: No, no, no.

21 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Well, what you
22 said a while ago --

23 MR. GABRIEL: I want to respond to that. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I mean, that's 25 important. I think Councilman Bowman

mentioned something alluding to that earlier, and you've mentioned it right now. So I just --

4 MR. GABRIEL: I think Council Member
5 Priestly Jackson brought it up earlier on,
6 and it's a good point.

7 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Yeah. Tell us8 how that works.

9 MR. GABRIEL: I think -- you've got to 10 be careful what -- you know, be careful what 11 you wish for because --

12 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I don't wish 13 for it. I just want to know how we do it.

MR. GABRIEL: I'm just saying, by
automation, if you want just everything,
you're going to get inundated with a bunch
of different stuff, I would suspect.

What I recommend -- but I'm open to your input on this. What I'd recommend is that it's on a case-by-case basis. I understand there's a little bit of a -- well, how does counsel know that something's happening, you know, when JEA is the one leading the charge?

25

Well, I mean, maybe we can think of a

1 way -- and we can come back to this, but 2 think of a way, like on a case-by-case 3 basis, if it's something that's going to involve or be of interest to you, then maybe 4 5 we -- on a case-by-case basis you get that. You may not want all the -- you know, 6 7 the sort of rote things that are happening 8 on a daily basis, but you may want the 9 bigger ticket type opinions and things like 10 that.

11 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Well, just to 12 finish this up, we may be asking the 13 question of our attorney, or you, and we 14 don't know if they're responding in a 15 different way or not. How will they know 16 what we're concerned about, as well as us 17 knowing what they're concerned about?

MR. GABRIEL: So it's going to be very important when we engage legislative counsel here. We're going to have to come up -- and I'll talk to the Council President about this, but some good protocols, because, again, the collaboration goes both ways.

You want the collaboration, thecooperation, because you want them to work

with those attorneys and get -- there may be answers that the board even -- there may be some opinions that have already answered some questions. Certainly legislative counsel can get it. They may have some other varieties of, you know, ways to look at it, and that will come before you, so --

8 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I hope you can 9 help us with that, because I don't know at 10 what point that -- I don't want something 11 coming to us, one way or the other, at the 12 end, and they ask all these questions that 13 are contrary to some of the things that 14 we're considering.

MR. GABRIEL: There's going to have to
be collaboration of operation --

17 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Well, I'm very 18 comfortable. Two parking lot items, the 19 first of which was the one that Council 20 Member Jackson brought forward with respect 21 to the timeliness of adjacent counsel, let's 22 call it.

And then the second one, with respect to some clarity as to the procurement and when in the process a procurement process can be

1 stopped or deferred or however. 2 So those two we'd like to see addressed 3 at a subsequent meeting. Council Member Priestly Jackson, the 4 floor is yours. And we'll try and keep this 5 brief because we're over the time. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: We're going to 8 need a parking lot garage before this is 9 over. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: All right. 11 Well, probably so. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Through the 13 Chair, thank you so much. 14 And I just want to say, General Counsel 15 Gabriel, I believe sincerely you are sharing 16 with us your legal opinion based on 17 understanding. 18 I'll tell you the challenge, and I just want to say in the room, the Council is in 19 20 the unique position for the first time, I think, in history of having four attorneys 21 22 on the body politic. What fun. 23 And so -- but I think the challenge for 24 me under 21.04, the authorization for JEA 25 doing this, that section is called Powers.

1 And the first one, Subsection A, says, To 2 construct -- JEA shall have the following 3 powers, in addition to powers otherwise conferred. To construct, own, acquire, 4 5 establish, improve, extend, enlarge, reconstruct, reequip, maintain, repair, 6 finance, manage, operate, and promote the 7 8 utility system. It doesn't say sell.

9 And so I think that -- my running joke 10 has been, I don't think the framers, Judge 11 Arnold, contemplated -- of our Charter, 12 contemplated an independent authority 13 selling themselves right out of the Charter. 14 I don't think they contemplated that. 15 That's been a little running joke of mine.

But I think that that's the challenge. So that's the first -- that's Subsection A. And then when we get on to Subsection P, which you've referenced, it then talks about transfer, sell, finance, lease, otherwise provide services or products, or byproducts, developed or used by JEA.

It still -- there's a contemplation in these powers of parts or items that they're going to receive or they need services

1 provided, not the very entity itself. I 2 think that that is the rub for us. 3 And further down I know you referenced if they're going to sell more than ten 4 5 percent. Well, yeah, that still will connote 90 percent of the majority is still 6 held hold and harmless, so it's less than 7 8 that.

9 I think that that's our confusion. I 10 know it's my confusion. And I know we've 11 been referencing procurement. Procurement 12 means acquiring. It means acquiring, not 13 selling, in my understanding of it.

14 And so I think that that's why we are 15 challenged with a procurement process that 16 authorized the JEA Board to advance an ITN, 17 to sell -- you know, recapitalize, you know, 18 sell itself, but because it -- that's not 19 the understanding of procurement. They're 20 not -- I mean, are they going to acquire another entity to buy them? I don't -- I 21 22 mean, that's the stretch of the term 23 acquire.

And so I don't want to put you on the spot today, but I think we have to look at

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 what are the powers that 21.04 is actually 2 saying JEA has. I, too, find trouble 3 finding any authorization to commence for the recapitalization, the results and the 4 5 sale of the entity under a power. And that's the rub. So if we could feature --6 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: I think the 7 8 question in there is the viability of 9 this having the right and opportunity to 10 sell themselves. 11 MR. GABRIEL: Can I give a hypothetical? 12 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Please. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: David and 14 Goliath. 15 MR. GABRIEL: The hypothetical is -- and 16 just for purposes of this hypothetical, 17 imagine that water is ten percent of the 18 operations of JEA, just for purposes of this exercise. 19 20 If it is ten percent, could JEA sell it 21 under that provision? I ask that. You can 2.2 ponder that, and we can talk about it 23 another day. 24 And if they could, well, then certainly 25 they could undertake a process to do so,

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

whether they want to undertake a procurement process or a direct solicitation, or some other way of going about it, I'd submit that at a minimum it would allow you to do that. And so how does one even figure out what

6 ten percent is of their assets? There's got
7 to be a calculus. Who's in charge of doing
8 the calculus? JEA.

9 And so there's got to be some 10 preliminary process that's undertaken at 11 some point. And if JEA is charged with 12 running the utility, they're certainly the 13 first in line to be able to make that 14 calculus; and hence, the reason why they 15 could make the calculus and then decide, You know what? Let's give this a shot, and then 16 17 we'll take it to Council and see what 18 Council does with it.

19 Anyway, with that being said, I can 20 certainly --

21 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Well, let me --22 can I please?

COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Very quickly.
 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: And I
 appreciate it, and we can have further

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 conversations because I think -- I differ 2 with the legal understanding of procurement 3 in the (inaudible), but I like the example 4 you just gave of the ten percent, because I 5 think one of our issues is what's the actual 6 valuation of the asset. We don't really 7 know.

8 Before you get to the provision that 9 says, Nothing in this article shall 10 authorize or be construed to authorize JEA 11 to transfer any function or operation, 12 before the issues of being enough, it says, 13 Provided, however, that JEA will not enter 14 into any activity pursuant to this section 15 in addition to those activities listed 16 herein without first providing written 17 notice of such activities to the council 18 auditor no less than 30 days before the 19 commencement of the activity, which would 20 envision for me, before you could determine 21 ten percent, the auditors must say what the 22 ten percent is.

And so I don't know if that happened,
but -- so I think that there were fail-safes
put in this. I think that there was a

1 question on interpretation. Before you say ten percent can be sold, it seems that the 2 3 council auditor is supposed to tell us what's the value, what's the ten percent. 4 5 And then there would likely be, from that point, that language saying, This is the ten 6 7 percent or less that we want to sell or the 8 more. That's all.

9 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Thank you, 10 Council Member Jackson. I appreciate that 11 very much.

12 Let me offer a couple perspectives, if I13 may, before we move on.

14 Number one, obviously, I understand and 15 appreciate the objects that we're focusing 16 on, the possible recapitalization of JEA.

17 Keep in mind there are five scenarios on 18 the table which might change the structure 19 of the organization. And, quite honestly, I 20 do appreciate the fact what JEA is doing; 21 it's exploring all five. But you really are 22 exploring all five in this process.

23 So I appreciate the fact that we are 24 very focused on one of the scenarios, and 25 probably the most likely scenario as

presented to us down the road. Let's not lose sight of the opportunity to explore all five of those as we go through this process.

And, number two, I think a lot of the questions, issues, concerns that are raised today will be and can be addressed both again by Jason or his office down the road; and then, secondly, obviously, from the perspective of the folks at the board and management of JEA.

11 So we have clearly demarcated today what 12 we -- our concerns are, and I do appreciate 13 that in the context of this process.

I do want to provide ourselves the opportunity to hear from Ms. Miller. I, first of all, want to thank you, Judge, for your time and resources today, and, Mr. Gabriel, for your input in this process.

Again, all the contents that we -- and materials that we are working from, including the entire Article 21, is available online, and some of the other items, too. We will make sure it's all there for you all to see and make decisions of your own accord in this process.

1 So I want to quickly invite Ms. Miller 2 to come up and share very quickly, if she 3 can, with us the --

JUDGE ARNOLD: While she's on the way 4 5 up, I just wanted to say -- because every time I come over here I say this: I want to 6 thank each and every one of you for building 7 8 our wonderful courthouse. Some of you 9 weren't here when it was built, but you 10 continue to support it and spend a lot of money over there maintaining it. And it is 11 12 absolutely the finest courthouse in the 13 country. And you should be very proud what 14 you did for us. Thank you. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: And that number 16 was \$150 million. 17 JUDGE ARNOLD: Well, I did. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: All right.

19 Thank you. I appreciate you both for your20 time.

21 Ms. Miller.

And I appreciate the patience and indulgence of my colleagues in this process. We're trying to keep this as concise as possible. And for the opportunities for us

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

to have further conversation about any of these items, we can move them forward. The process is very fungible. All right. We just set a course. It's a wire frame, as I mentioned before. It can change as we move forward through this process.

Ms. Miller, if you would quickly -yesterday you sent out from the Civic
Council three items.

For the benefit of those listening who may not be as familiar with the Civic Council as some of us are, could you quickly give us a thumbnail of what the Civic Council is and then specifically to the letter that was forwarded from the subcommittee.

MS. MILLER: Yes. First of all, thank
you for the invitation and, through the
Council President to all of you, thank you
also for taking on this process.

The Civic Council is a group of 80-plus CEOs in the Northeast Florida region organized around essentially mobilizing the collective voice of the CEO to study and analyze difficult public policy issues in

1 our region and hopefully move those forward. So it is a 501C(6), a membership 2 3 organization. All members are -- pay dues. We do not accept any public funding. We 4 fund all of our own research. And the idea 5 is to fund research, develop facts, and then 6 from those facts, as we study issues, then 7 8 to proceed with presenting those facts and 9 information to the public, to the 10 policymakers primarily, and then hopefully 11 draw conclusions and work toward positive 12 public policy change.

So with that in mind, in 2017 the Civic 13 14 Council came out with a strategic plan. 15 There were two strategic objectives. I 16 think one of which you're familiar with, and 17 as I've been before you before regarding 18 education reform, conducted several studies 19 regarding education reform nationally with 20 other groups. And then the second was analyzing the state of the City's public 21 22 finance structure.

23 We are in the process of conducting a 24 study that, for the very first time, 25 actually looks at the comparative tax base

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 of Duval County and the City of Jacksonville 2 and compares it to other cities and counties 3 throughout the state of Florida. And that 4 work has never been done. And it's a work 5 in progress, I will say. We have had it 6 peer-reviewed. We are working with 7 professors at JU and UNF.

8 Now, in the course of that research --9 and it has gone on for some time -- we 10 recognize the JEA is a very key funding 11 source for the City of Jacksonville. And so 12 when you look at the amount of funds coming 13 from JEA, not just from franchise fees and 14 utility tax, but from a direct 15 contribution -- and at the time we started 16 the study, JEA's contribution was close to 17 20 percent of the close to \$1 billion 18 budget. Now it's obviously a smaller 19 percentage, but still guite a significant 20 contribution. And it's one of only two in 21 the state of Florida that actually makes a 22 contribution to the city. The other is the 23 Orlando Utility Commission.

24 So with that said, the executive 25 committee -- when there was discussion about

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 the potential sale or change of JEA, the 2 executive committee convened a subcommittee 3 of our public finance task force to study 4 JEA. That was in January of 2018. This has 5 been a very long road.

Using the relationships and reaching out 6 7 across the nation to investment bankers, to 8 utility experts, to -- I can't even tell you 9 how many different financial experts, 10 lawyers, a lot -- like rating agency 11 individuals, a lot of people in the finance 12 and energy sector will tell you this is a 13 highly, highly complex issue. It is 14 extraordinarily complex. It's like an 15 onion. You keep peeling it back.

16 So as we commence, we set out an 17 analytic framework for ourselves to say, 18 Here's what we are going to study. It was 19 going to be how do you optimize this asset 20 for the City of Jacksonville? That was our 21 question to ourselves and to the public. We 22 shared that with the former special 23 committee on JEA of this Council.

As we went down that road, we collected a lot of information, but part of our

analysis was going to be developing a
 particular value, a value of JEA looking at
 various factors.

During the time of our analysis and as 4 5 we began collecting information, there was a 6 seat change at JEA, both in leadership and in strategic direction. That change 7 8 resulted in a completely different set of 9 financial projections than were originally, 10 and it was in the form of -- you know, the 11 McKinsey study, and that process. That 12 process took quite a long time.

And we initially worked very closely with JEA with our external consultants and received a high level of cooperation in working to get, you know, financials by line of business and just a lot of information.

18 So suffice it to say, it has been a long 19 It's been 18 months. I do note it's road. 20 been a highly complicated process. And as the Civic Council continued on in its work, 21 22 we came to several conclusions. And one 23 was, because this is a complicated process, 24 the only true way to get your arms around 25 the value of JEA is to conduct a full review

of JEA. And a full review, like an
 appraisal, would take -- it would take a
 team of folks -- and this is what's done in
 other communities.

5 It would take a team of people, finance, investment bankers, utility experts, if it's 6 water, if it's sewer, you know, different 7 8 people, and lawyers. So -- and that takes a 9 whole team of people. It usually costs 10 quite a lot of money. It's not unlike what 11 JEA is going through now, right, in some 12 ways, but it would be by the City.

13 And so we knew, as an organization 14 funded through member dues, we did not have 15 the resources for that. We actually priced 16 it out through several different investment 17 firms who one of which is actually working 18 on the workout for the L. A. Power Company 19 publicly. And it was upwards of 500- to --20 500,000 to a million dollars to conduct that 21 type of study. So we knew we were unable to 22 do that, but we wanted to stay involved and 23 abreast of what was going on.

24 We were approached in the spring by JEA 25 and asked -- they asked us to endorse their

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

status quo numbers. And our task force and
 subcommittee said, We'll be happy to look
 at this. We need to look under the hood.
 We need to understand your financial
 assumptions. You know, we'll be happy to
 look at it.

So we had a scheduled meeting in June,
and the plan for that meeting was to, as
somebody said, put the green eyeshade crowd
together to look at the numbers.

11 Unfortunately, that meeting did not turn 12 out that way for a variety of reasons, and 13 we were never able to obtain the underlying 14 financial assumptions and the documents to 15 look at that. We have folks -- we have both 16 members and others and engaged financial 17 experts who were retained for that purpose.

And then, as you know, in July, the JEA issued an ITN, which appeared to deviate and was, frankly, a surprise, I think, for everyone.

And so our executive committee said, Given the change of direction and given the fact that this appears to be moving so quickly already, let's regroup and retain

1 experts to look at the process -- look at 2 this process. What would any municipality 3 want to go through? What would any 4 municipality do in a process like this if 5 there were a disposition or sale or even 6 just an evaluation of your assets?

7 And our experts, the -- I've sent you an 8 original report from Gerry Hartman, who's a 9 double board-certified engineer, as well as 10 an opinion from the Gray Robinson Law Firm. 11 Mr. Jason Burnett is here from the Gray 12 Robinson Law Firm. And -- but we asked the 13 question, you know, what would any 14 municipality want to know if they were -- if 15 there was a process to dispose of an asset? 16 You know, what would you want to know? What 17 would the public want to know? And what do 18 you have to go through? What are those 19 requirements?

20 And so that was the task ahead. And, 21 fortunately, Mr. Hartman has been a part of 22 over 635 different transactions to sell or 23 transfer or restructure a utility. So he's 24 very familiar, in 36 states around the 25 United States. And then Mr. Cloud, of the

1 Gray Robinson Law Firm, has been practicing 2 in this area for 40 years primarily in the 3 state of Florida and has worked with, I 4 mean, just -- since the '70s on municipal --5 primarily municipal disposition. So we 6 examined that process.

7 What you have -- and there is no time 8 today to go through that, but I would 9 strongly encourage you to call upon 10 Mr. Hartman and Mr. Cloud for that. And --11 but out of that came several things.

We also have looked at the financials, and engaged experts to look at the financials, talked with the Florida Municipal Power Association and talked with private sector, like the, you know, bond rating agencies and analysts who previously worked for bond rating agencies.

19So across the board we were told if --20JEA is not in a financial crisis. Is it21hitting, you know, some headwinds? Yes.22Does it need to potentially restructure?23Possibly. And so how do you combat that?24How does a public utility -- what are the25options available to a public utility or a

1

municipality if you have concerns?

So looking at that, we believed, and based on our research, based on the opinions of these individuals, we're recommending that this Council -- and based on a reading, as Mr. Gabriel said, all roads lead to City Council.

8 Now, Mr. Cloud knows Tom Welch, also a 9 former General Counsel, who he's consulted 10 with in rendering his opinion who said that 11 JEA is absolutely -- JEA can absolutely go 12 through the exercise it is going through. 13 It is exploring one of what it has laid out 14 for itself five options. Right? There are 15 five options in the McKinsey report.

16 So it can go through this process, but 17 it is one part of a larger process. And 18 really the duty, the ownership, under 19 Florida law, of the municipality, is the City. And you are the elected officials of 20 the owners of the -- of the owners of that. 21 22 Right? The owners of the utility have 23 elected you to office and the right -- I 24 mean, this City essentially gave JEA the 25 franchise to operate. And so -- if you

1 think of it that way -- as well as to
2 operate water and sewer.

And so when you think about it, it's a bit of a nuance -- you know, it's nuance. So JEA can continue its work, but its work is not the only consideration. This Council should take control of the entire process.

8 There is a duty under Florida law for 9 whoever has to approve the sale, you have to 10 undergo very specific requirements, public 11 hearings, analyses, making a business case, 12 and those are outlined in the letter that we 13 sent to you.

14 So while JEA can conduct this and 15 certainly present you with an option, you 16 are not limited to that option. And one 17 would say that your duty is to explore all 18 options and to make sure that you have 19 before you all of the information.

20 So I again applaud you for convening 21 this. I will close with this: That when we 22 look at this and come down to it, it appears 23 that the primary motivation in all of this 24 is funds for the City. And if that is 25 correct, if that's correct, and if the City

needs \$3 billion, then the primary question here is, how much money does the City need, what do we need it for, and, you know, then lay out a plan to get that, because it's a very healthy exercise to analyze your assets. You should always understand how much you own and your value. Very healthy.

And our experts will tell you, you should at least go through this process every 10 to 20 years to understand your value. But it's not your only asset. There are other ways and other triggers if the City needs money. And that process should be a very public process.

15 And this community has had a very long 16 and successful history under Mayor Hazouri, 17 under all of our mayors, the recent mayors, 18 under Ed Austin and John Delaney, and even 19 Mayor Curry, in responding to a need. Our 20 citizenry understands the need for pension 21 reform. They understood that after years 22 and years of complexities, and they 23 responded and they voted.

24 So we would encourage you to take a step 25 back and examine what are the needs of the

1 City. If we need to sell an asset or 2 consider other assets, then what are the 3 right mechanisms for that? COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Well, I 4 5 appreciate your comments. I certainly --Mr. Wilson, I don't want to chair that 6 7 committee. 8 Mr. Diamond. 9 COUNCIL MEMBER DIAMOND: Thank you, 10 Jean, for being here. It's a great deal of 11 respect for you, and I love that you're 12 getting all sorts of new friends in the last 13 24 hours. That's good stuff. 14 I guess I'm kind of a bottom-line guy. 15 And I understand what you're saying about 16 process and procedure and the City Council. 17 I have to assume that there's probably 18 some number. Let's say somebody offered us 19 a hundred billion dollars for JEA. I would 20 assume that the Civic Council would say, 21 Yeah, every day we sell it right now, 22 immediately, here you go. And then at \$500 23 million the answer is, No way, not a chance. 24 It doesn't make any sense at all. 25 Does the Civic Council have a number

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 where they feel that this would make sense 2 for us? And, if so, what is it? 3 MS. MILLER: Yeah. So through the Chair to Council Member Diamond. 4 The Civic Council has not considered and 5 we have not studied. So we have not studied 6 7 that. And the letter is clear that we are 8 not opining on whether a sale should take 9 place and on what terms. We are not opining 10 at this time. 11 At this time the concern is regarding 12 the process and the concern that it is -- it lacks fundamental transparency. And as we 13 14 know now from Florida law, there are certain 15 requirements for that. 16 So if this Council wants to do this, 17 then the process is salvageable. It's 18 salvageable. Mr. Cloud and Mr. Hartman will 19 tell you, if you want to save the process, 20 you can do that. But there are certain 21 things you need to go back and cover. 22 So we don't have an answer to that. 23 That, if that question comes up, then the 24 Council will apply its resources and analyze 25 it to the best of its ability.

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: But to the 2 extent that the conversation you and I had 3 yesterday, the process we are undertaking 4 right now is certainly in line with the 5 expectations and -- as part of that process; 6 correct?

7 MS. MILLER: It would seem to me, 8 without, you know, knowing more and looking 9 at your -- the titles, but I would encourage 10 you to -- again, Mr. Cloud is available --COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Sure. 11 12 MS. MILLER: -- and others and -- yes. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Mr. Bowman. COUNCIL MEMBER BOWMAN: Thank you, 14 15 through the Chair.

16 Thank you, Ms. Miller. Civic Council 17 has been an amazing resource for me, and I 18 appreciate what you all have done.

A question for you, and maybe we don't have to answer today, but with the expertise you have, my family is in a position where we're selling a piece of property right now. And there's two ways we can do it: We can go get it appraised, or we can go see what the offers are, and it gives us an idea of

that market value. And it sounds like you all are looking at doing the appraisal part.

1

2

3 And so my question is that, given that we've got, I think, 15 people that are 4 5 groups that are offering something on that, at the end of the day will that give us a 6 7 good idea of what JEA is really valued, or 8 would we need to -- is there still value in 9 going out and getting an appraisal done on 10 it even though we've got -- we know what the 11 market is willing to purchase it for.

MS. MILLER: And thank you, through theChair to Council Member Bowman.

14This question came up yesterday. We had15a special member meeting to discuss that.16And Mr. Hartman is best to answer this17question for you.

But the short -- let me clarify. We are not conducting an appraisal. An appraisal would require the expertise of cross disciplines, and that would be the duty of the City. So let me be clear about that. And that would cost quite a bit of money.

But Mr. Hartman examined and said, Look,
an appraisal is the typical part of what you

1 would do to understand the value. The ITN 2 as structured is wide open. It does address the value of real estate. It does not 3 address lots of different types of values, 4 and there are different values that are 5 important in this process. There's the 6 7 PS -- the Public Service Commission in 8 determining what's called rate base. 9 They're going to look at a value. And then 10 you can have your appraised value.

11 So to extend your analogy of selling 12 your property, I'm assuming you have some 13 sense of what the market will bear when you 14 go out to offer. Let's say I want to buy 15 your property, and I come to you and say, 16 I'd like to buy your property, and other 17 people have and you have some competitive 18 But I have to finance your property. bids. 19 So I go to a bank. A bank is going to 20 require an appraisal, or I might, if I'm buying it for cash, want to know an 21 22 appraisal.

You go through a due diligence period if
you're buying something. You would do a
Phase 1. I'd like to know the

environmental. I'd do an inspection. I'd want to know what I was buying and be fully informed as a purchaser.

So if you think about it that way, then that would be the role. If you are a seller or a buyer, you want to know.

7 Mr. Hartman would tell you he has been 8 in several situations where -- and most 9 recently in the City of Vero Beach, where 10 the city was -- where a -- one of the bidders offered I think a hundred million. 11 12 I'll use round numbers because I don't 13 recall. It's a very small -- so let's say 14 it was 50 million.

15 He came in with an appraisal that he did 16 with a team. And this is not to promote his 17 services with that regard. This is more to 18 say, with the team, analyzed some of the 19 additional assets that the property had --20 that the utility had that weren't taken into consideration in the bids. So although the 21 22 original bids were 50 million, it eventually 23 sold for a hundred million.

24 So you want to have a thorough 25 understanding of what it is you're selling

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 and the value of that. And that would be, I 2 would suggest, a duty as public servants and 3 as part of your fiduciary duty. But I hope that answers your question. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER BOWMAN: It does. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Mr. Salem, 6 7 Ms. Cumber. 8 MR. SALEM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 9 Through the Chair to Ms. Miller. 10 I was confused by a comment you made about -- at one point you were requesting 11 12 financials on the JEA, and they were not 13 responsive to that. 14 MS. MILLER: That's correct. 15 MR. SALEM: And then at some point it 16 sounds like you got that financial 17 information in order to do this analysis. 18 Can you clarify that for me? 19 MS. MILLER: Sure. Yes. 20 MR. SALEM: And can you explain more 21 what you requested? Emails, who you sent 22 them to --23 MS. MILLER: Yes. 24 MR. SALEM: I'm very curious about that. 25 MS. MILLER: We have all that.

1 So in the initial stages we wanted to 2 get a consolidated and a consolidating bank 3 balance sheet for all lines of business. 4 That did not exist. So JEA went to the 5 effort of actually putting that together. 6 It took many, many hours. But they actually 7 said they found it useful.

8 You may be familiar with Howard Serkin 9 and Heritage Capital who donated his, you 10 know, pro bono time to assist us in 11 developing what we wanted to get was some 12 type of estimated value and a discounted 13 cash flow analysis for the various lines, 14 but also together.

15 So that was in the spring of 2018. As 16 you know, everything changed during that 17 time. So leadership changed, and then the 18 interim leadership told us we can do all of 19 this for you, but -- because there was some 20 additional information that we asked for, 21 but it is not -- these are not good 22 projections. We are going to reevaluate 23 these projections. We are engaging a 24 consultant.

25

So we stopped. I mean, there's not much

more you can do when they say -- because they say, We're about to re-forecast with the assistance of a nationally recognized consulting agency. So we stopped on that.

1

2

3

4

5 Then McKinsey came out with its own -its status quo projections. So when we 6 7 were -- we asked for the data and the 8 underlying assumptions. We asked to speak 9 to McKinsey. We asked repeatedly. And we 10 were asked to actually endorse that in May. 11 And our membership said, you know, We don't 12 do anything without doing research. So we 13 want to look under the hood. So let's look 14 under the hood.

So a meeting that was supposed to be about three or four people to look under the hood, talk to McKinsey, get the underlying data, trying to understand that so we could come forward with some opinion, but we were not provided with that.

21 And then Mr. Hartman, in doing his 22 analysis, asked Mr. Zahn and his team for 23 specific documents. We had an hour-long 24 interview with him, you know, very 25 graciously provided, and there were specific

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

requests. And then they promised to provide
 some certain information, and there were
 follow-up requests that were unanswered.

I will give you a very specific example. We asked for the McKinsey RFP. We asked for the McKinsey proposal to say, What have they proposed? What's the scope of services and what's the cost of that?

9 We were sent back a very heavily 10 redacted document. Under Florida law, when 11 you redact something, you have to state the 12 reason why. It was not.

So I asked. I sent an email back and
said, What is the basis for the redaction?
And we received later a letter from
McKinsey, not from JEA, claiming trade
secret.

Now, this is a deal that's already closed, that's already moving forward on purchase. And so it was -- but we didn't press the issue anymore; but suffice it to say, I don't know what McKinsey was asked to do, and I don't know how much they paid for it.

25

So that was difficult, because we wanted

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 to understand. We didn't want to duplicate 2 McKinsey's process. We wanted to be 3 additive to it. So that was the purpose of asking for the RFP. 4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Thank you. I need to tidy it up. I'll go through three 6 7 or four more questions here, and make it 8 very quick. 9 MR. SALEM: Did you follow up with any 10 City Council members that could have facilitated that? 11 12 MS. MILLER: No. 13 MR. SALEM: You strictly went Civic 14 Council to JEA. 15 MS. MILLER: That's correct. 16 MR. SALEM: Thank you. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Council Member 18 Cumber. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBER: Thank you, and 20 thanks for being here. 21 So was the letter and everything you're 2.2 voicing, is that a unanimous feeling of the 23 Civic Council, or are there Civic Council 24 members who have a different view or take a 25 different tact on this?

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

MS. MILLER: So one way to answer that is our -- the Executive Committee of the Civic Council is the policymaking body, and the Executive Committee is 10 to 12 people along with the -- and then the task force chairs are ex officio members.

7 As for the Executive Committee, it is 8 unanimous. The members were given an 9 opportunity to review, to provide input, to 10 receive feedback. And some of the 11 members -- as even with education, some 12 members do not agree. But when members 13 agree to participate in the Civic Council 14 and pay dues, they agree and they understand 15 that the organization has its own values. 16 So -- and the values intend to advocate.

17 So I can't speak for another member, but 18 I -- and we did not take an 19 organization-wide vote because the process 20 is through the Executive Committee.

21 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBER: Okay. Thank 22 you. And then you said that the process is 23 salvageable. Can you like kind of go 24 through the specifics of what you think 25 Council hasn't done to this point? And I

know, you know, you mentioned public
 hearings, and we have 15 or so public
 hearings set up in addition to our regular
 Council process.

5 So you seemed to imply that there are 6 things that we should have done to date that 7 we haven't done or that we haven't set up. 8 So if you could kind of list those out so 9 we're clear on what you --

10 MS. MILLER: Well, I can direct you to 11 the PowerPoint presentation by Mr. Hartman, 12 and he presented for almost an hour 13 yesterday. So that would take a lot of 14 time. And out of respect for your time and 15 everyone else's, I would encourage you to --16 we can help, you know, arrange for 17 Mr. Hartman to be here to present that to 18 you, on what would typically happen in a 19 municipality if you were undertaking this.

20 So I don't have that list off the top of 21 my head. But Mr. Hartman has that as well 22 as Mr. Cloud.

23 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: And it's laid 24 out in PowerPoint that you provided us 25 yesterday; correct?

1 MS. MILLER: There is some. And so --2 there is, and then you'll also see a flow 3 chart. So there's a lot of details packed into that, if you see the larger report from 4 May 2018. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Well, I need 6 just a brief answer because --7 8 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBER: Yeah, a super 9 quick follow-up. 10 So there's nothing specifically that the 11 Civic Council, the executive body of the Civic Council, thinks that Council isn't 12 13 doing right now. It's more the consultant 14 that is looking -- looking at like these 15 sort of situations at large. 16 MS. MILLER: No. The Executive 17 Committee has approved the letter that you 18 received yesterday, and there are seven 19 recommendations there. There are seven 20 observations. 21 So I would say that I think those are 2.2 fairly direct and -- if you question any of 23 those. So, yes, they have, based on our 24 research and the observations from that 25 research.

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN:Council Member2Becton.

COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Thanks. Through
the Chair to Ms. Miller. Thanks for being
here.

6 MS. MILLER: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: I just got 7 8 really one question, but it's based on my 9 observation, having been through a lot of 10 these meetings and so forth: Is that this 11 whole process is -- we're here because at 12 the heart of the JEA reasoning for going 13 through this process is not because we need 14 cash.

15 MS. MILLER: Right.

16 COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: That has never 17 kind of been on the table. But it's been 18 for two things: that the future forecast, as 19 it relates to its revenue projections; and, 20 number two, the constraints on any future 21 solutions that addresses those revenue 22 projections.

23 So you mentioned that the Civic Council 24 started exploring at least the first point 25 there.

1

MS. MILLER: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Have y'all given 2 3 up on that initiative, whether it's doing it in parallel or, as Mr. Salem mentioned, you 4 know, through open records, Sunshine Laws --5 MS. MILLER: Yeah. 6 COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: -- provide us 7 8 the data because, from what I understand, it 9 is there and that is hopefully part of what 10 we're going to set our sights to do. Are 11 you guys going to keep up that initiative? 12 MS. MILLER: No, not at this time. And 13 here is the problem: The -- at least, I 14 mean, at this time. 15 We asked for all of the underlying 16 information. So when the new projections 17 came out in May, we immediately asked for 18 the underlying financial assumptions and to 19 speak directly with McKinsey so we 20 understood what went into that. We were 21 going to have to unpack a lot of 22 information. We were not provided with that 23 opportunity. 24 And so -- but as part of that 25 conclusion, whether it's going through

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 scenario one, two, three, four, that is the 2 duty -- or scenario six, seven or eight, 3 whatever those scenarios look like for this 4 city, it's the duty of this group to 5 consider that, as well as the needs of the 6 city.

And I would submit -- so I can't sit here today and tell you that the financial projections are correct. I can't tell you what they think because I don't know. Everything is based on an assumption and we don't have that. That has been part of this.

14 So that was the reason why the 15 organization decided -- the Executive 16 Committee decided to weigh in on the process 17 at this time and, to the extent we can, be 18 additive to your process and your analysis. 19 Based on what we have collected to date, 20 we'll be happy to do that.

21 But I -- beyond the process right now, 22 there's not been a decision on what the next 23 step will be.

24 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: I believe there 25 were two questions, and we're going to limit

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

it to that. Mr. Hazouri first and then 1 2 Mr. Carlucci. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Ms. Miller, you can answer yes or no, and I think you've 4 5 kind of answered part of my question. On the financials, have y'all been 6 working on that since y'all started 18 7 8 months ago? 9 MS. MILLER: Financials? 10 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Yes. 11 MS. MILLER: So we started when 12 Mr. McElroy was here and working with 13 Melissa Dykes, and then that changed. And 14 then we were told -- that was January, 15 February, March, April, May. Then we were 16 told that the financials, May, June, those 17 would be -- they were no good, that they 18 were restructuring the financials so we 19 should wait. We should not do a continued 20 analysis based on those financial 21 projections because they're wrong. That's 22 what we were told. 23 So we stopped. We did not want to 24 add -- so then we received the new 25 financials, and that goes to Mr. Becton's

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 question. But in order to understand 2 financials, you have to get into the 3 assumptions. COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Right. 4 5 MS. MILLER: And we have not been provided that. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Well, the only 8 reason I'm saying, because at the very 9 beginning of the conversation, your 10 conversation, was that we didn't -- that 11 everybody that y'all talked to would tell 12 you that they're okay. 13 MS. MILLER: Yes. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I mean, that's 15 basically what you said. 16 MS. MILLER: Right. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Now, that tells 18 me, the headline today, that we're in a 19 spiral. I know y'all didn't write that. 20 But that the JEA is not in a spiral. MS. MILLER: Actually, that is in our 21 2.2 letter. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: That would be 24 your conclusion from talking to whoever you 25 talked to.

1 MS. MILLER: Correct. 2 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Based upon 3 Mr. Hartman's assessment. MS. MILLER: But based on the 4 information available to us. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Right. If you 6 7 can send us whatever financials that y'all have done or studied. 8 9 MS. MILLER: Well, it was from over a 10 year ago, and we've already been told by JEA 11 that they are --12 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: So nothing new 13 that -- okay. 14 MS. MILLER: We've not been provided with that information. 15 16 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: The other thing 17 I want to mention, Mr. Hartman can be 18 reached how? 19 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: I've got his 20 contact. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: You've got it, 22 okay. 23 The other question, you know, again, it 24 goes back to him. When you said that, you 25 know, the whole reason -- and he didn't say

1 that that was the reason, the JEA, because 2 they get nothing out of it. It comes to us 3 and we make the decision -- was the assets 4 and, you know, what we get out of it.

5 Well, that's the other -- that's part That's the elephant in the room. 6 two. Ιf 7 all this takes place and comes to us and we 8 vote, it goes to a referendum, the whole 9 thing, then that's going to be the bigger 10 question among all of us, the Council, the 11 Mayor, and everybody else.

12 I think what we're looking at is what --13 I think the JEA is doing fine studying it. 14 That's fine. I have a position on it; but 15 if they come forth with something that, you 16 know, that we all think is good for the City 17 and the taxpayers and the ratepayers, that's 18 fine. But as far as the assets, that's 19 another new animal that we're going to have 20 to deal with a long time from now, if we 21 have to do it at all.

22 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Mr. Carlucci,23 you've got the closing question.

24 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: Okay. Well,
25 I've got two questions real quick. You just

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 said haven't been provided with that 2 information. 3 MS. MILLER: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: Okay. What 4 kind of information? Is it public 5 information? 6 7 MS. MILLER: It's listed in Exhibit A. It's listed in Exhibit A of the --8 9 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: Is it public 10 information? MS. MILLER: This is what I don't know. 11 So we asked for certain information. So 12 I don't know if it either doesn't exist or, 13 14 if it exists, why it wasn't produced. So 15 that was unclear. We were just told, no, 16 we're not going to answer that and then go 17 to the --18 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: If it's public 19 information, they should turn that over. 20 MS. MILLER: I agree. But our quandary 21 at this point in time is we were just told 22 no, and then move on to the next question in 23 the interview. 24 So I don't know if it's available and --25 whether it exists. So it would be available

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 or, if it doesn't exist, then it doesn't 2 exist. No is an answer. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: Yeah. With that guandary right there, I'd like to get 4 an answer from the JEA on --5 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: And you will. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: -- as to why 8 it has not been provided. 9 Now, the second thing I wanted to ask, 10 you've got -- on the second page here, it 11 says, However, the disposition of our City's 12 largest taxpayer-owned asset is far too 13 important to be considered and legally 14 flawed closed door negotiations that contradict current nationwide trends and 15 16 could potentially result in negative 17 consequences for the community. 18 Are those current nationwide -- what are 19 those -- I think I know what they are. 20 MS. MILLER: They're nationwide. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: What's the 22 nationwide trends you're talking about? 23 MS. MILLER: Through the Chair to 24 Mr. Carlucci, the most recent Florida 25 example is when the City of Winter Park

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1 voted, by overwhelming referendum, to take 2 back their utility. And I think you've --3 in California there are several examples. COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: That's fine. 4 5 Thank you. COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: Thank you, 6 7 Ms. Miller. 8 Well, I've failed miserably in managing 9 the clock today. I apologize to all 10 concerned. COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: You did a good 11 12 job. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: We have one blue 14 card. 15 Mr. Parker, do you want to defer till --16 MR. PARKER: Mr. Chairman, I can just 17 speak with you and Mr. Billy after the 18 meeting. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER BOYLAN: I look forward 20 to that conversation. 21 Any other comments? Thank you all for 2.2 your time and your patience, your interest 23 in staying aboard. This is a marathon, so 24 please bear with us. And we will go through 25 this very carefully and cautiously. Thank

1	you	all. We	are adjou	rne	d.	
2		(Meeting	adjourned	at	12 : 59	p.m.)
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA
3	COUNTY OF DUVAL
4	I, Amanda E. Robinson, Registered
5	Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that I
6	was authorized to and did report the foregoing
7	proceedings; and that the transcript, pages 1
8	through 129, is a true record of my stenographic
9	notes.
10	
11	DATED this 19th day of November, 2019.
12	
13	$ \leq \sqrt{2} $
14	Operte Char
15	Amanda E. Robinson, Registered Professional Reporter
16	Registered fioressional Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	